NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

10c

Royal Oak, Michigan | August 4, 1941 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year

U.S. TAKES LEAD FOR WAR AGAINST JAPAN

(See Pages 3 - 20)

C.I.O. Goon Squads, Bases in Ireland, All in 1933 Novel!

(Story on Page 10)

Halifax Helped to Make Versailles Terms Harsh

(Story on Page 4)

A Confidential Letter to President Roosevelt

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ THE PEOPLE ARE TOLD -- AFTERWARDS ★ DISSENSION IN THE ARMY CAMPS

Dinner Chat

SOCIAL JUSTICE continues to receive some very excellent letters which cannot be used because they are unsigned.

Our refusal to print names and addresses on the Letters page is for the protection and personal safety of the writers. In every case the name and address of the writer are on file in our office and communications intended for the writers are forwarded to them. In no case, however, is the name or address of a letter writer given out to anyone.

We are willing to add this burden of clerical detail to an already busy schedule, as a service to our readers.

But if the Letters page is to continue an honest people's forum —which it has been for more than five years — we cannot print anonymous communications, however excellent.

It is a real disappointment to have to discard communications of real value because of their anonymous nature.

Please, therefore, include a name and address to which replies may be directed, with every letter intended for publication in this National Magazine.

We believe we are justifiably proud of the uniformly high quality, the wide range of subjects discussed, and the nation-wide area from which the letters to this magazine are directed. Readers and other editors tell us the Letters Page in SOCIAL JUSTICE is one of the best.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of Government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE National Weekly. Copyrighted 1941 by the Social Justice Publishing Company, publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.
SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for direct advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.
Vol. 8 August 4, 1941 No. 5

The 'Western Catholic'

THE *Western Catholic* is the official diocesan newspaper of the Bishop of Springfield, Illinois—the Most Reverend James A. Griffin.

We are glad to reprint an editorial appearing in its recent issue entitled "Avaricious Capitalism As Bad As Atheistic Communism."

We quote:

"Unless Americans take a definite stand against both atheistic Communism and avaricious capitalism the United States will go the way of Russia and Germany. Atheistic Communism is the opposite extreme of avaricious capitalism. The one is as bad as the other. Both are destructive of human rights and freedom in government.

"Communism was born of the sins of capitalism and today is a plague on the rich and a curse on mankind.

"Capitalism holds that the salvation of the world depends on *multiplying* the goods of this world. Communism, on the other hand, holds that the salvation of the world depends on *dividing* the goods of the world.

"Humanly speaking, the division is the most difficult of all economic operations. In fact, the division is not only difficult, but just about impossible. This is so true that capitalism and Communism are only two different systems of economic piling up of goods. Both agree that their ideal lies in monopoly, and monopoly means "all on one pile."

"The problem, which up to now has been insoluable in any economic system, can be solved by Christianity, that is, by its *social justice* and its *social charity*. Social justice gives everybody his due, and social charity supplies that which is missing, through works of mercy.

"Socially speaking, Christianity is built upon two principles; the principle of personality and the principle of community. These principles applied to economic life are *private property and community of goods.*"

* * *

That is the doctrine preached by SOCIAL JUSTICE for over five years. That is the doctrine broadcast by Father Coughlin to millions of listeners.

It is impossible for Christian social justice to play a major part in our national life if we try to cure evils by adopting evils—particularly the evil of totalitarianism or State worship.

A Christian Wants Action

THE following letter from a Brooklyn correspondent writes its own comment.

He says:

"The writer has followed to the utmost of his ability the stand the Catholic Church has taken, here in the United States, ever since the dark clouds of war once again dropped over Europe.

"When the Spanish civil war was raging and anti-Christian forces—from the White House itself to Hester Street in New York City—were aiding the Spanish Communists (Loyalists), the voice of the Catholic Church in the United States was rather weak, considering its size and influence.

"Here and there courageous priests stood up like true soldiers of Christ, and cried out against the evils they saw — but the Church leadership, in general, failed to take a united and vigorous stand where unity and strength of purpose were most necessary.

"We must give the Church credit for the Bishops' Committee bringing about the Legion of Decency. But why come out so strongly against indecent motion pictures, magazines and stage plays —and then remain practically silent on the issue of war?

"Does not the Church teach that war is a moral issue?

"No Cardinal, Bishop or priest can justly say that American participation in another European war would be morally justified, in the face of what has transpired up to this very day.

"In view of what the Catholic Church *knows* . . . it seems strange, indeed, that the Church leaders in America do not come out Christ-like and say to American Catholics, "this is an unjust war as far as American participation in it is concerned."

"Who, or what, are the leaders of the Catholic Church afraid of?"

Rabbi Denounces Soviet

RED RUSSIA is as much a menace to our way of life as is Hitler-dominated Germany, Rabbi Jacob Katz, told a congregation in Montefiore Synagog, in the Bronx in a recent sermon.

Rabbi Katz continued: "We must chastise the political rulers, including President Roosevelt, who is reported contemplating extension of the Lease-Lend policy to Russia."

War Waste

GIVE ME the money that has been spent in war . . . and I will purchase every foot of land upon the globe . . . I will clothe every man, woman and child in an attire of which kings and queens would be proud . . . I will build a schoolhouse on every hill-side and in every valley over the whole earth . . . I will build an academy and endow it, and a college in every State, and fill it with able professors.

I will crown every hill-side with a place of worship consecrated to the gospel of peace . . . I will support in every pulpit an able teacher of righteousness, so that on every Sabbath morning the chime on one hill should answer to the chime on another around the earth's wide circumference . . . and the voice of prayer and the song of praise should ascend like a universal holocaust to heaven.

—From Bob White's "*Scrap Book*"

Pal Still Gets th' Breaks: Pete's Keeping Dog Days

HI, FOLKS:

I'm only stoppin' a minit. This place's too hot f'r a Grate Dane in th' Dog Daze. Th' trubbl with this offus is they got enuff fans, but they all blow hot air. An' th' edditur won't stan' for eny of that!

I'm goin' out lookin' f'r th' fella that wrote "Kum t' Royal Oak f'r a Kool Vakashun." I guess he gotta a job at sum resort up North; but they tell me they had a hundred 'r so up there, too!

Pal gets all th' braks. He's gotta nice cool stone church basement—if he behaves himself; and then on these nice long Summer nites he's got a monustery garden t' frisk around in. What's he ever done to deserve all that?

* * *

All th' news 'n activity seems t' be up his nayborhood too.

We thought they hadda pretty nifty skool up at th' Shrine, but ya outta see the ol' place now! Th' skool is just about lost in between two new buildings—one is sum more rooms 'n th' other part, up toward the Church, is goin' t' be an auditorium, gymnazium, cafeteria, etc. I'll bet you can find Pal hangin' around th' cafeteria — no gym workout f'r that big lazy hound.

It's certainly grate t' see Bob Antwiss back at th' ol' stand, too. Now, if ya can just get th' ol' car t' hold together long enuff t' get out to th' Shrine, y'r all set—Bob's crew at th' servus stashun'll take over—an' how! They even found th' body squeeks in that nice blue luxry liner uv th' edditur's — 'n that's mor'n th' fackt'ry could do.

My ol' girl hasn't much time f'r me today. The girls 'r way behind on th' mail 'n workin' "nites 'n Sundaes" 's th' sayin' is. So if ya got a change uv address or you've written in t' kick about somethin' a cupple uv times—hav a hart!

Think uv this wether we're havin' an' the offus staff short-handed on akount uv vakashuns etc.

All right, all right, 'Im goin' but don't say "shoo"! (Excuse me, folks, that was me speekin' t' her.)

Keep cool, folks; they tell me ya c'n tak' this hot wether best 'f ya don't fite it. I don't know but I pass it along f'r what it's wurth. See ya later.

—Pete.

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date _____

Name _____

Address _____ City _____

State _____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

Comment

International Finance Breaks Down

THE "freezing" of Japan's assets in the United States, and the impounding of arriving cargoes—ordered by President Roosevelt, and concurred in by the British—does more to effect the actual isolation of the United States of America than all the talk by so-called "isolationists" since the war began.

More importantly, it seems to us, this action signals the break-down of international gold capitalism.

Heretofore, the game of the gold-holding internationalists has been to play the gold and credit of one country against another. But, under the Roosevelt program, one after another of the world's nations, and now Japan and China, have been cut off by the world creditor.

Now, we hold all the gold, and the rest of the world — excepting, for the moment, Great Britain and Russia—has been forced to reorganize an economic new order, based upon work and production.

If this state of affairs is not to result in the utter breakdown of international finance, just how is international capitalism —and our own gold economy—to survive?

Only two ways appear possible:

1. The annihilation of Hitler and his system of national wealth based upon work and production. (This is the course upon which we now are committed; and it means the United States and Britain against the world.)

Or, (2), *using* our buried gold, and the real wealth of this nation, as the foundation for our economy—in place of an outdated gold-credit fiction of international capitalism.

* * *

In commenting upon this latest break with Japan, the newspapers speak of the silk market; but less is said about rubber and tin.

For both of these indispensable necessities, America is helplessly dependent upon the Dutch East Indies, to which Japan has the most convenient access and over which she now has control.

If we are going to drive Japan out of the Orient—out of those areas which produce the world's rubber and tin—just how do we propose to do it?

Are we going to send a fighting Navy of battleships across thousands of miles of ocean, to engage Japan's mighty force of modern torpedo airplanes?

As long ago as the indeterminate and unsatisfactory naval curtailment conferences, the Japanese turned away from floating forts (battleships) to the modern torpedo plane. They have them now, admittedly in superior numbers and trained efficiency.

In the event our Navy were to be put out of action in any such unequal encounter, just where is this hemisphere to obtain rubber or tin?

We talk a lot about the wartime shortage of aluminum; but that is almost insignificant beside our scandalous shortage of tin.

Our synthetic rubber production is in the "dark ages": years will be required, at our present efficiency lag, to reach anything like a satisfactory substitute for our imports.

But the necessity for tin is immediate and constant; you cannot turn on an electric light in your home without it.

Now, not only do we have to import our inadequate supply of this vital metal, but *used tin* (i.e., tin plate products, cans, etc.) have, over the years, been sent to Baltimore where they have been pressed into blocks of scrap and SENT BACK TO JAPAN!

The great, progressive United States has not had the intelligence to salvage tin when we had it.

* * *

For the first time, too, in this action against Japan, the United States takes the responsible lead, and Great Britain, the Netherlands, etc., *follow* our leadership.

We, the people of the United States, are assuming the responsibility for this new policy in the Orient, and *asking for the consequences.*

Quite frankly, the prospect is not bright:

neither—so far as the public has access to the facts—is the policy-making.

Moreover, it was the Republic of the United States which introduced to the world the *Monroe Doctrine* with its hemisphere claim of "America for Americans."

At this late date, after Great Britain's aggressions of 1757 in India, and those in China had created international cities of Shanghai, Hong Kong and other ports, little Japan announces her empire policy of "Asia for the Asiatics."

What is wrong about that ambition of theirs; if our own doctrine for this hemisphere is right?

Index to Comment

International Finance Breaks Down; Welles Makes it Official ..... Page 3

Let's Find Out Lord Halifax's Background ..... Page 4

Halifax in Los Angeles ..... Page 5

Editorials: 'V' for Victory; The British Ask for American Boys; A French Priest Writes; Give Us 'Tools' ..... Page 6

A Letter to the President — No. 1; Dissension in Army Camps ..... Page 7

Our Future Battleground: President Roosevelt and South America ..... Page 8

The People Are Told — But Only Afterwards; Unofficial Censorship ..... Page 9

'Gabriel Over the White House': What Is in Store in Washington, D. C.? ..... Page 10

Bases in Ireland; Irish Denounce Willkie Scheme ..... Page 11

F. D. R. and 'Philip Dru' ..... Page 12

Bundles From Britain, C.O.D.; Teachers for Britain; New Deal Adviser Counsels Peace; Why Alter Our Way? ..... Page 13

English Criticize British ..... Page 14

The Honeymoon Is Over; Any Pots, Any Pans, Any Kettles Today? ..... Page 15

More on Pegler ..... Page 18

War Against Japan? ..... Page 20

Welles' Forecast Makes It 'Official

IN VIEW of the activity of American engineers in building "bases" in North Ireland — in the very region indicated by prophetic novelists as the site of the "International City" — Acting Secretary of State Welles' "feeler" for a new kind of World State after the war becomes ominously significant.

The Washington correspondent of the *New York Herald Tribune* (July 23) wrote a story which was headlined:

"Welles Urges a New League After the War."

"Calls for World Agency to Restrict Arms and Assure Security to All."

"In the first public statement of American peace aims," the correspondent writes, "Sumner Welles, Acting Secretary of State, said today that some 'adequate instrumentality' must be established, superseding the League of Nations, which will provide rigid international supervision and control of armaments and will assure all peoples of 'equal economic enjoyment.' "

This is a far-reaching program, comments the *New York Times* editorially, *"highly significant as an official plan."*

"The peoples of Europe are waiting more hungrily to hear of the alternative to Hitler's New Order," continues the *Times,* "and the fact that Welles' statement was broadcast from London to the Continent in 25 languages indicates that its purpose is to give substance and direction to this hunger and this hope.

"It is chiefly important, however, as the most specific declaration of peace aims that has been made by the spokesman of any government."

* * *

And the *Chicago Tribune* adds: "The commentators who say that Mr. Welles was speaking for the enlightenment of the conquered peoples may have been doing him an injustice. It is just possible that he was speaking for the American people.

"It may have occurred to him that 80 per cent of them are against participating in the war because they can see nothing in it for us which will be worth a small part of the cost of winning the war for the British Empire . . . What effect Mr. Welles' speech may have abroad we do not know, but surely it cannot have changed many minds in this country . . .

"Mr. Welles is still trying — but he hasn't got us in the war yet — and his presence among the war-mongers isn't helping their cause."

* * *

But, it still seems to us, that without going too far into dreamland, the readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE can read a significance into the United States announcement that the newspaper comments either miss, or hint at very subtly.

Let's Find Out Lord Halifax's Background

THIS ARTICLE is not even an attempt to present a full sketch of Lord Halifax.

It does, however, present one substantial characteristic which is astounding. A characteristic, once known by the American people, that will be sufficient to discredit the sanctimonious propagandist for war.

On February 27, 1940, Lord Halifax delivered an address at the University of Oxford. This foremost British Christian statesman, and son of the lay leader of the advance Catholic movement in the Church of England, endeavored to justify England's war against Germany by no ordinary process of reasoning.

In his address he upheld the thesis that English youth was *good youth;* that German youth was *bad youth.*

Because, according to His Lordship, there was such a spiritual and moral cleavage between British and German youth, it was Britain's duty, so he inferred, to wipe the Philistinian Germans from the face of the earth!

"There is something sinister in the acceptance by growing generations in different countries of standards of conduct in sharp contradiction to one another, for that does constitute a terrifying challenge to the very foundations of human thought and action" — so said His Lordship in this Oxford address as he searched the depths of the soul for a justifying cause to engage the British world in a war against Germany.

Halifax continued by asking the question: "What has, for example, been the driving force of the Nazi movement in Ger many?"

He submits the answer to his audience of Oxford students: "It has been German youth. Deliberately deprived as they have been of the elements of true judgment, it is they who made the movement and who still sustain it. Their point of view stands in stark opposition to yours. They do not understand your way of thinking. Your ideals mean nothing to them. They have their own ideals, which to our minds are distorted and deformed, but for which hundreds of thousands of them are prepared, without a moment's hesitation, to sacrifice their lives. There is what seems to be an impenetrable barrier dividing you from them, which somehow will have to be broken down if the youth of Europe is to avoid living always in this waste land, and if the European temple of civilization is to deserve to win a rekindling of the lamps.

"The real conflict, therefore, today is not between age and youth but between youth and youth. It is important that this should be fully appreciated, for it is the kernel of our future problems."

So spoke Lord Halifax.

* * *

Granting that there is a cleavage and a divergence between German youth and British youth, we pause to comment as follows:

According to Lord Halifax, German youth is the scapegoat for the sins of this generation. His Lordship describes the young men who follow Hitler as "deliberately deprived of the elements of true judgment."

As a matter of fact, the educational establishments prior to Hitler failed to educate the young Prussians, Bavarians, Bohemians and others in an efficient manner.

Taking Christ's yardstick that a tree is known by its fruit, what were the fruits of German education following the World War until 1933? There was poverty, oppression and, particularly, Communism growing apace.

Prior to Hitler's rise to power, 5-million German votes were cast in favor of the German Communist Party. The education in vogue in the Fatherland lacked the ability to counteract the growth of Leninism; and lacked the ability to produce competent leaders.

It was not Hitler who deliberately deprived the German youth of the elements of true judgment, as Lord Halifax infers. Rather, it was that group preceding Hitler who failed to grasp the full significance of the times in which they lived and of the aspirations in the minds of the youth whom they were attempting to lead.

The important question, nevertheless, is this:

Who were responsible for creating the conditions under which the German youth, following the World War, were forced to engage?

That point is religiously avoided by His Lordship. Carefully he avoids mentioning the unjust *Treaty of Versailles* which imposed restrictions upon the German youth that were not only inconsiderate but inhuman and unchristian.

On the fly-leaf of the book *"Unfinished Victory,"* by Arthur Bryant, eminent English author (1940; R. & R. Clark, Ltd., Edinburgh) we read:

"The effacement of the Versailles settlement, which sprang from the fear and suffering imposed on Europe by Prussian militarism, became the 'platform' of the Nazi party. Each score wiped off only added to the original enormity in the minds of the German people. 'The long years of hunger left their impress not only on the memory of those who suffered, but on the nervous organism of millions of Germans. A whole generation grew up in an epoch of undernourishment and misery such as we never in this country experienced.' Only when these events are understood as fully as Mr. Bryant describes them will we come near to understanding the advent of the National Socialist machine and the dilemma that faces Europe today."

* * *

Perhaps the most eminent Conservative journalist in England at the time the *Peace Treaty of Versailles* was signed was Mr. J. L. Garvin — a gentleman who will never be accused by historians of possessing an undue tenderness for Germany.

On May 11, 1919, this dean of English journalists wrote the following indictment of the *Treaty* which was about to be signed:

"If the Germans are wise, they will sign, of course. But if they signed and sealed twenty times over, they, like any other race in their place, would determine to seize every such opportunity of mitigation or repudiation as the inevitable troubles and dissensions of the rest of the world are quite certain to provide. The root-vice of the whole *Treaty* is that it leaves the German race no real hope except revenge — no matter how long the revenge may have to be deferred.

"In the whole *Treaty* there is no glimmering perception of the constructive necessities of Europe as a whole. Universal and abiding antagonism to it will give the German people a fresh basis of common interest. After passing no doubt through confusion and convulsions they will be solidified and fortified by adversity. It is necessity that makes men strong, success that usually blinds them."

If the *Treaty of Versailles* thus appeared unjust in the eyes of this top-ranking journalist of England, rest assured it appeared more unjust and impractical in the eyes of the German people themselves.

* * *

The point is, the youth of Germany had no alternative to exercise their judgment. The *Treaty of Versailles* was imposed upon them. It robbed them of their lands, their possessions and their professions. It deprived them of their hopes, their freedom and their culture.

And who were those responsible for the signing of the *Treaty of Versailles* which demanded payment in gold of a reprisal debt so stupendous in itself that it would

(Continued on Page 5)

T.R. Speaks from the Grave

IN 1914 Theodore Roosevelt said:

"There are few more revolting types of public immorality, more damaging to public conscience and more deeply discreditable to those responsible for them than those which exhibit a readiness to make promises before election coupled with an equal readiness to repudiate them afterwards."

Messrs. Willkie and Franklin D. Roosevelt please copy.

Canada Rations Supplies

AUTHORITIES in the Dominion of Canada have announced the prohibition of sale, delivery and distribution of gasoline and oil to motorists from 7 p. m. to 7 a. m. weekdays and for all of Sundays.

The price of gas is up one cent; the use of credit cards is prohibited; and meanwhile there is threat of limitation.

For example, Mr. Cottrelle, federal oil controller for Canada, said that if the citizens do not co-operate with the government in this prohibition, rationing cards will be issued.

Incidentally, SOCIAL JUSTICE has been advised by the post office authorities that the book — *"An Answer to Father Coughlin's Critics"* is denied entrance into Canada.

All this does not indicate that Canadians are 100 per cent back of the British-Jewish war. As yet, they have no *Lend-Lease Bill* as have Americans. As yet, they have no conscription of men as have we Americans. As yet, the vast French-Canadian population, together with the Canadian-born population, is not totally in favor of lining up the Dominion with Soviet Russia.

Comment

Lord Halifax's Background

(Continued from Page 4)

have been impossible under favorable circumstances for all Europe and the British Empire combined to meet?

Here is the story:

While negotiations were being conducted at Versailles in the Spring of 1919, Mr. Lloyd George, then Prime Minister, enjoyed the full support of Englishmen because, in his election pledge, he said he would "make Germany pay to the full extent of her financial resources."

After due consideration, Mr. Lloyd George recognized that, if Germany were drained financially, economically and materially, difficulties would arise in the future which would produce no amity between the former belligerents. Consequently, he endeavored to prevail upon M. Clemenceau — the French premier — to mitigate the stipulations incorporated in the *Peace Treaty* relative to Germany.

Thus, on March 15, 1919, David Lloyd George posted a formal memorandum to the Peace Conference.

This memorandum is historic. Said he:

"You may strip Germany of her colonies, reduce her armaments to a mere police force, and her navy to that of a fifth-rate power; all the same, in the end, if she feels that she has been unjustly treated in the peace of 1919, she will find means of exacting retribution from her conquerors. The maintenance of peace will . . . depend upon there being no causes of exasperation constantly stirring up the spirit of patriotism, of justice, or of fair play, to achieve redress . . . In justice, arrogance, displayed in the hour of triumph, will never be forgotten or forgiven.

"For these reasons I am, therefore, strongly averse to transferring more Germans from German rule to the rule of some other nation than can possibly be helped. I cannot conceive any greater cause of future war than that the German people . . . should be surrounded by a number of small States, many of them consisting of people who have never previously set up a stable government for themselves, but each of them containing large masses of Germans clamoring for reunion with their native land. The proposal of the Polish Commission that we should place 2,100,000 Germans under the control of a people of a different religion, and which has never proved its capacity for stable self-government throughout its history, must in my judgment lead sooner or later to a new war in the east of Europe.

"We shall never make a lasting peace by attempting to restore the conditions of 1914. But there is a danger that we may throw the masses of the population throughout Europe into the arms of the extremists, whose only idea for regenerating mankind is to destroy utterly the whole existing fabric of society . . . I would, therefore, put it in the forefront of the peace that once she accepts our terms, especially reparation, we will open to her the raw materials and markets of the world on equal terms with ourselves, and will do everything possible to enable the German people to get on their legs again. We cannot both cripple her and expect her to pay."

Following the publication of this historic document, a determined minority in England not only manifested its opposition to the thoughts expressed by the British minister, but organized, by means of propaganda, to force Lloyd George to live up to his pre-election pledges.

"Make Germany pay!" was the slogan launched by the Northcliffe press.

Although reason dictated leniency, mitigation and mercy; yet the savagery of the war-mongers demanded, as did Shylock, the last ounce of flesh.

Pity it was there was no Portia to counsel David Lloyd George!

Pity it was that Northcliffe and Clemenceau were the concrete Shylocks!

* * *

And what part did Lord Halifax have to play in all this?

When pressure was being placed upon Lloyd George to withdraw his memorandum and to coincide with the views of the godless Clemenceau, 200 Conservative Members of Parliament signed a round robin telegram which they addressed to the British Prime Minister as he sat in the Hall of Mirrors at Versailles.

This telegram demanded the utmost severity against Germany.

This telegram supposedly originated with Lord Northcliffe, who grieved because he was not a British delegate at the Peace Conference.

This telegram represented the turning point in the world's history; for it broke down David Lloyd George and built up Clemenceau as the diabolical master mind of the world's worst diplomatic *faux pas.*

This telegram was responsible for permitting Clemenceau to sign a document which he himself admitted was good for fifteen more wars!

This telegram was responsible not only for the rise of Communism in Germany but for the rise of Hitler who came to power, as the world admits, on his opposition to the *Peace Treaty of Versailles.*

This telegram was responsible for molding the German youth in the form of serfdom.

And among the signatories of that hell-born telegram was Major Edward Wood, M. P. of the Yorkshire Dragoons.

Major Edward Wood, M. P. of the Yorkshire Dragoons *is the present Lord Halifax!*

* * *

The above story is definitely factual and perfectly in accord with historical documents.

It reveals a man who played a major part in counseling Prime Minister David Lloyd George to accept the nefarious, revengeful, sinister terms of the so-called *"Peace" Treaty* conceived in a cauldron of hate. And this so-called *Peace Treaty,* in turn, played a major part in molding the youth of Germany into a race of impoverished, revengeful and determined youth.

Lord Halifax — not knowing how to say an "act of contrition"; not knowing how to make restitution for the unjust part he played in 1919 — has the effrontery in 1940 to stand before a group of English Oxonian students and preach to them that the German youth must be destroyed.

Halifax in Los Angeles

EDWARD FREDERICK LINDLEY WOOD— better known as Lord Halifax — with Lady Halifax, paid his respects to Los Angeles last week.

The British ambassador and his wife made what was called a brief inspection tour of the Lockheed and Vega airplane plants at Burbank, California.

At the Lockheed plant, Lady Halifax gave the signal that started Jimmy Mattern on his way with the 1,000th Lockheed-Hudson bomber for warring England. It was a brief but dramatic ceremony. Lady Halifax stood beside the plane and waved her right arm saying: "Go, Jimmy Mattern, and may God bless you!" and the big bomber was off.

The British visitors were met by the British Consul Eric Cleugh and Mrs. Cleugh, and later were presented to Mayor Fletcher Bowron at the City Hall.

* * *

But there were others awaiting England's No. 1 man. They were not invited, nor were they expected, nor were they welcome or welcoming.

They were a group of mothers who do not favor our fighting England's war. The placards which they carried bore such legends as:

"F.D.R. May Want You, But the People Do Not."

"Welcome Halifax, the British Lyin'."

"Let Britain Defend and Govern Herself."

"Bundle Halifax to Britain!"

And one small child was paraded up and down in full view of the visitors. Over her head was held a banner reading:

"Here's One Bundle Britain Won't Get!"

* * *

A welcome considerably more cordial awaited the ambassador and his lady at the airplane plants. The executives — understandably gleeful over their immense war business, which is likely to continue for some time — gave their excellencies a super de luxe royal welcome, that could have been exceeded only by the motion picture trade.

Some 20,000 Lockheed workers were given time off to see the titled visitors and hear the speech of Lord Halifax, which they cheered lustily. President Robert Gross presented the speaker and addressed the men: "Ours is a different battle at this moment," he said, "Sweat — not blood — we are asked to give; will you join me in 'Thumbs up' for carrying on?"

As one man the 20,000 American workers made the British gesture — thumbs up!

Said Ambassador Lord Halifax:

"I have my own opinions as to whether America should enter this war now, but I prefer to keep them my own."

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

'V' for Victory

WHEN "V" for virility and "V" for twin motors failed to win the battle of the Netherlands, the battle of France, the battle of Norway, the battle of Greece and the battle of Crete, the British propagandists now employ the mental armament of "V" for Victory.

The letter "V" has become most prominent in the military achievements of Britain during the second world war. We mean the "V" for "vacate." They vacated Tronjheim, Dunkirk, Athens and Crete.

And in many instances the reports from their war department — up to a given point — were characterized by "V" for "vacuity" — meaning, according to our dictionary's fourth definition, "lack of intelligence; stupidity."

"V" for "vanquish" has not been employed by their propagandists up to date. But "V" for "vapid" has dominated the mind of Britain's blustering Churchill.

One must give the British credit for utilizing "V" for "vend" — meaning to lend. They succeeded in persuading a mesmerized United States Congress to pass the *Lend-Lease Bill* though the British were well known in this country for having become the world's vorst velchers (after all, the letter "W" is only a double "V"). And how the British doubled on this one!

Of course, "V" could stand for "Versailles." That letter could be employed by the Germans as a *memento mori* of Versailles, memories of which would inspire the Germans to do or die rather than submit perpetually to the unjust peace which Britain played a major part in imposing upon the beaten citizenry of der Vaterland (another "V") in 1919.

This comment could run on verse after verse in a version which might become vesicular — meaning full of air-bladders.

We will content ourselves, however, by suggesting that "V" might stand for "voodooism."

Posterity, alas, will be forced to appraise Churchill as a voodoo — one who conjures or puts a spell upon, after the manner of a witch.

O tempora! O mores! One shudders to think that England's far-flung power has fallen into such a vortex!

The British Ask for American Boys

TWO British generals, a British ambassador, and a British member of Parliament, recently have raised the cry for American men to join in fighting the battles of Britain.

The last in this litany — the member of the British House of Parliament — was the honorable Mr. Wedgwood who, according to Jewish newspapers, is the representative of Jewry in the British House of Parliament.

In calm consideration, we have little fault to find with these emissaries. We realize that they are merely demanding what they have been promised.

Twenty years hence, perchance, when the secret, but charred documents will be rescued from the archives of destroyed capitals, we will know the commitments made by Ambassador Bullitt, by Colonel Donovan, by Harry Hopkins, by Wendell Willkie and other emissaries misrepresenting the people of the United States in Europe.

Meanwhile, our people are numbed into thoughtlessness by the war campaign in our midst.

Meanwhile, 97 per cent of our people are sure that politicians' words are not to be believed.

A revolution is occurring in the minds of Americans who soon will come to the conclusion that the *Constitution* has not failed us but that the guardians of the *Constitution* have betrayed us.

Blame not the English emissaries for demanding the blood of your son, brother, husband or sweetheart.

Blame rather those who encouraged the Englishmen to make this demand.

A French Priest Speaks

IN A letter to SOCIAL JUSTICE a French priest — long resident in Rome and France — submits the following considerations:

"This war is more than a war, it is a general revolution and a turning point of all human history.

"The worst of it, besides the material destruction which marks every war, is the destruction of spiritual, moral and social values. What was considered as wrong and utterly condemnable yesterday, has become permissable and almost praiseworthy action today.

"Justice exists no more. The logical has lost its control of human acts. All moral values seem to have changed. We wonder sometimes if we live in a world inspired by the Christian ideal or in a pagan world where force and ambition rule everything.

"Yesterday, Russia was denounced as the Enemy No. 1 and Russian Communism was regarded as the sorest danger in the world.

"Today, ah! today, we see America treating Russia as Friend No. 1, and England allying herself with the Soviet government which is no longer an enemy because it helps to keep Hitler's attention diverted from England.

"Where is right? Where is wrong? Where is logic? Where is morality? Has Stalin changed?

"From a Frenchman's viewpoint, there is only one man, who proved to be more intelligent, long-sighted and clever than any other diplomat. It is the man whom Hitler dreads the most in the world: It is old Petain.

"Instead of reversing all his moral and political ideas, as did all the others; instead of proclaiming a scandalous friendship with Russia, he rather took occasion of this new war, to sever all relations of France with Sovietism, which he always considered as the greatest danger of the Christian world."

'Give Us Tools'

SOME months ago Britain cried in anguish to the United States, "Give us tools."

The ordinary American interpreted the words in their ordinary dictionary meaning.

Few were analytical enough to understand that Britain meant not drills and saws, lathes and mechanical instruments, but a different kind of tool.

The tool that powerful men employ; the tool that subversive men employ.

The tool that works for a pat on the back or a new Treasury note in its pocket.

It was left for the *Chicago Tribune's* Mr. Parrish to pen a cartoon captioned *"Give us Tools."*

It shows Franklin D. Roosevelt blandly smiling from a window as he regards Hull, Willkie, Stimson, Knox, Hopkins, Ickes, Winant, Bullitt, Morgenthau and several others dangling from a hand like puppets.

Tools they are. Tools co-operating in the destruction of America and in perpetuating the needless bloodshed which regards "gold more precious than men."

And that last phrase is a biblical quotation.

A Letter to the President – No. 1

My dear Mr. President:

It is not an easy chair in which you sit. More than any other person you realize that the head wearing a crown is a weary head.

Back of you are eight years in the White House. When you entered its portals you had 90 per cent of the people of this nation supporting you. To them you pledged that you would drive the money changers out of the temple. To them you held out hope of a happy, peaceful, prosperous America.

It is regrettable that happiness has disappeared; that war his dissipated peace; and that unbearable taxes, following the war, will guarantee the worst depression this nation ever experienced.

It is not so long ago that you were smiling — and we were smiling — when you announced the good neighbor policy.

In 1933, unfortunately, you missed the opportunity of ingratiating yourself with the German people although you tried to extend kindness after kindness to the Communists of Russia.

Unfortunately for you, for us and for the world, your attitude towards Germany helped Adolf Hitler cement the bonds which united the citizens of that country to their leader in a manner seldom duplicated in all history.

Meanwhile, many of your old friends have gone. Colonel Edward Mandell House is dead. Some of the youngsters who surrounded you were opposed to the old gentleman whom your mother admired so much.

Lewis Howe is dead. If Lewis were living, I feel that he would advise you to spend more time taking care of America and less time meddling in world politics.

And old Tom Walsh is gone. There was a man whose sincerity and devotion you did not doubt.

I wonder, if Tom were living, what he would say to you in these tragic hours of decision.

These are only a few of the Old Guard who have passed on. But with their passing, I fear there went the amiability and the single-mindedness which you once enjoyed.

I recognize how troubled you have been.

There were those greedy factions surrounding you. Little politicians whose thimble minds were interested only in patronage.

Big bankers whose long, lean fingers were interested only in manipulating the finances of the country for their own profit.

Crazy-quilt *cliques* whose leaders perpetually pestered you in behalf of their pet movements.

The likeable, but inefficient Madam Perkins whom your gentlemanly heart could not afford to offend.

And that ugly Harold Ickes whose mere presence suggested the need of a bromo-seltzer.

Indeed, you had your troubles which have worn deep furrows in your brow; troubles which, unconsciously, wore deep furrows in your mind.

I am remembering that you are a politician by profession — one of the world's most successful politicians. And the thought occurred to me that there are only two roads for a politician to travel. One is up; the other is down. Well, you have gone up as far as you can go. And what of the descent?

Here you are practically in war with the nation that you dedicated to peace.

Here you are remembering the words you spoke at Boston to the fathers and mothers of America.

Here you are liquidating what you said at Chautauqua about fool's gold.

Here you are, a lonesome man, surrounded by thousands whom you cannot trust, save only a few.

And I was thinking of the boys in camp — boys who don't think things through for themselves any too cleverly; boys who would like to regard you as more than a President.

I don't know what your advisers tell you about these boys. From my observation, I find that they want to go home to their mothers and dads. I mean they want to go home when their year's service is up.

Do you remember the rosy picture painted to these boys by Senators and Cabinet members just prior to the passage of the *Conscription Act?* It was only a picture which belied the reality for the majority of the boys.

I am telling you confidentially — even though you don't like to hear it — that these boys are becoming very resentful. Many of them know that you have been badgered and bullied by war-mongers who want you to make America not only an arsenal for democracy but a graveyard for hope.

These boys dare not write you a letter. They are afraid that the officers in command will punish them. Their parents are not accustomed to addressing the President of the United States to protest against the conditions in the camps.

My dear Mr. President, look to the future — your own future.

Why discard all the fine plans you once entertained for making this a happy America?

Why entertain thoughts that we should impose our way of life upon other people when our way of life is unhappy and discontented?

Ten years hence and all this will be over for you. You will be out of office definitely. The World War will have been concluded. Secret documents will be exposed. Another party will be in power. Then history will be written.

At that time the "yes men" who surround you will be silenced. The flatterers will have abandoned your cause. If you are living, you will be alone — just as much alone as was Napoleon on his little island; just as much alone as a prisoner in his cell.

If life were static; if policies remained; if events stood still, things would be different. But such is not the rule of life. Those who are on top today are on the bottom tomorrow.

You are old enough and wise enough to know that you will be blamed for having assumed too much power and for not having righted this topsy-turvy world — an accomplishment which Christ Himself did not achieve.

Possbily, ten years hence, your bones will rest in the Duchess County which you loved.

Alone, did I say? No; ten years hence, possibly, you will have stood before your Maker to render an account of your stewardship.

Did you perform your duty the way He would have performed it were He in your place?

Or did you try to accommodate yourself, your plans and devisals according to the suggestions of worldly-minded men?

I recognize that it is the province of religion, among other things, to teach the truth.

I recognize that it should be the province of a real politician, among other things, to reduce the truth to action.

Religion and statecraft are not separate entities. One is the complement of the other.

But, there I go, philosophizing to a man who is worried almost to distraction.

You won't mind, will you, if I address another letter to you next week?

Good-bye and *memento mori.*

Sincerely yours,
SEBASTIAN IGNOTUS.

Dissension in Army Camps

ARGUE as they will, Mr. Roosevelt's advisers will not be able to persuade the draftees that they are staying in camp more than a year while the President is keeping his pledged word to them — a pledge which the boys understood would keep them in camp no longer than one year.

Definitely, there is dissension in the draftee camps.

By and large, the public does not know the gross mismanagement related to draftees. In many instances, the boys were sent to camps with no adequate housing facilities to accommodate them.

In some instances the boys were not subjected to military training because there were no rifles and armament with which to train.

And, sometimes, the sanitation of the camps was a thing to be deplored.

All in all, Mr. Roosevelt conceived his peace conscription plan hastily and executed it as he has executed most other things — poorly.

In the meantime, there was war on the industrial front at home. Strikes multiplied. Defense production bogged down. Red tape strangulated efficiency.

Now the President says the boys are not trained and will not be trained properly after having spent a year in camp.

Whose fault is it?

It is not the fault of the boys who, in many cases, surrendered good jobs to serve as rookies on a starvation pay basis.

Before the boys were conscripted, industrial tangles should have been rectified and equipment produced. Camps should have been constructed and officers trained on the principle that officers can't teach privates until they themselves know the lessons.

It looks as if America had backed into a war — and backed in unprepared.

The story of France is being duplicated in our own country on a bigger and better scale.

Our Future Battleground

President Roosevelt and South America

In black-listing 1,800 American persons, firms and agencies, thereby preventing them to trade in America, F.D.R. has destroyed the last hope of full United States co-operation with the 21 South American Republics.

For some time it was known in many circles that the South American continent had leanings towards the Axis. Populated by peoples of Portuguese, Spanish, Italian and German descent, the Republics to the South have not been one with us in our attitude towards Hitler and Mussolini.

For many years, the United States contemned and disparaged, economically, Argentinians, Brazilians and other South American nations in favor of Great Britain.

Recently a puny effort was made to win these Southern neighbors to our way of thinking. While we extended credit to them, we did not succeed in winning their good will or their trade.

It appears that in sheer despair Mr. Roosevelt went off the deep end of diplomacy in black-listing 1,800 persons and firms because they were accused of dealing either directly or indirectly with the Axis nations.

After all, was not the British navy competent to nullify any such trading on their part?

In his hasty action Mr. Roosevelt also manifested a degree of economic dictatorship over the entire Western Hemisphere in so far as he has made up his mind to dominate the destinies of the sovereign people resident in South America — a people not at war with us; a people free to trade with whom they please, in so far as we are not at war officially with any nation.

Generalissimo Franco of Spain saw fit to make a statement in which he warned the United States to avoid war.

In his speech he incorporated strong praise for the Argentine saying: "Two years without ships, without foreign exchange, without credit, and still Spain has imported 2-million tons of grain, thanks to sister nations like Argentina, which have given us every aid.

"The countries of America, called by the Reds 'semi-colonial peoples,' cannot be separated from this crusade against Communism."

It is evident from the above words of Franco, that the Argentine and other nations South of Panama are lined up with the Latin ideologists of Europe.

Incidentally, Franklin D. Roosevelt has lined up with the Communist idealists throughout the world.

* * *

Communism already has lost in Europe. The mentors of Communism, having failed to impose it upon Poland in 1920, upon Italy in 1921, upon Hungary in 1922, upon Germany in 1932, upon France in 1933, upon Spain in 1937, finally tried to impose it upon South America through the instrumentality of American credit and gold.

The Portuguese, Spanish, Italians and Germans in South America, aware of the heinous and satanic fury of Bolshevism, banned it from country after country.

Efforts to win South America having failed, the Bolsheviks in Washington, D. C., have retaliated by black-listing the 1,800 firms who do business either with Germany or Italy hoping, as a corollary, to starve out Spain, Portugal and France.

We can look forward to revolutions in South America resulting from this economic strangulation motivated by the inter-American bank and the United States Government.

We also look forward to the eventual participation of the United States in the World War which will culminate in a fight for the South American wealth.

May we remind you that in the first month of this present war, SOCIAL JUSTICE predicted that Britain would move its capital to North America and that both Britain and the United States, acting in collaboration, would fight pan-Euro-Asia at the La-Platte estuary.

That prediction is coming closer to realization every day.

Not 'Our War'

THE VERY fact that interventionists have proceeded on a course of trickery and deception — of one step "short of war," to further steps still just short of actual shooting — should be conclusive evidence that the British Empire's war is not "our war."

That point is made by the Rev. Dr. James H. Cotter of Ironton, Ohio, in a recent article in the *Irish World*.

"If it were our war," Dr. Cotter writes, "the day of entrance thereto would be emblazoned on every front page of the world's press!

"If it were our war, we would have heard from the beginning the cannon's roar proclaiming the fact.

"If it were our war, action, not words, would have long since demonstrated the fact.

* * *

"To establish democracies similar to our own in the countries warring against Germany is certainly a Utopian scheme. The word 'democracies' was merely a cloak to cover England and hide singular interest in that land, where democracy is not in any way kindred to our own. There humanity is labeled first, second, and third class, a distinction unknown to free America and there heredity begets royalty and the Crown. These only indicate multitudinous differences.

"America would look like 'Moses at the Fair' teaching the Turks democracy, and the others who may yet draw the sword against Germany. Think of the languages our schools would have to teach and our diplomats would have to learn to let other lands know democracy — lands where language and race are foreign to its meaning.

"It is well for America to think of her own democracy and rectify its failures and perpetuate its blessings. There is such a thing as 'biting off more than we can chew.'

* * *

"In this troublous time, when words get varied meanings, from the minds that mouth them, the dear and sacred word 'liberty' is not an exception. Used often, for ignoble purposes, its meaning for some is worn off, for others it is indistinct if not entirely effaced, while others scout its very existence.

"What is liberty? Liberty, briefly stated, is subjection to just law rightly administered. This subjection is not degradation, for, as we are all reasonable creatures, reason, of which law is a regulation for the common good, should guide our deeds.

"Wherein then are we free? We are free from injustice on the part of the law, and from despotism in its enforcement, and this freedom is a boon since so-called 'laws' have often been inroads on human right — insults to human reason; and rulers, ignoring their high purpose, have acted as if laws were made to damn and not to save.

"It behooves us then to appreciate liberty — a grand characteristic of our democracy — and to no longer have our back to America and our face to England. 'Lest we forget, lest we forget' America and its many and gracious blessings.

"Destroy law, and you have anarchy; for man, untamed, is a fierce brute indeed. Exceed law, and shackled thousands groan curses on the legislator or ruler that forged their bonds. Legislate for the common good, and ruler and people are alike yoked in fraternity — all rights are respected and men enjoy true civil liberty. This is our portion.

"We should then help our countrymen to look to liberty with love, cherish it, and not endanger democracy of which it is the soul, by looking away from it and forgetting it in distractions that are no advantage whatsoever; but, on the contrary, are a certain danger of loss, or possible danger of death to our glorious Republic.

"Some men have never been introduced to themselves, hence they are strangers to themselves. The same can be said of nations. If a mirror could be made large enough for nations to see themselves they would back indignantly away and, each in turn, declare: 'That's not I!'

"What a philosopher was Washington! How he knew men and nations and how wisely he spoke when he advised against foreign entanglements. Foreigners themselves don't know when they are in a tangle with themselves or with others. Only 23 years ago the Japanese, French and Italians were our allies, and behold them today. They delight in execrating old friends and allies."

Comment

The People Are Told —But Only Afterwards

TO FIND precedent for the dictatorial dispatch of United States troops to Iceland, in the President's capacity of Commander-in-Chief and without asking Congressional authorization, a Government attorney submitted a brief purporting to show similar moves by previous Presidents.

For example, Woodrow Wilson sent the Navy to Vera Cruz; U. S. Grant sent an expedition to Santo Domingo; and McKinley sent troops to China, to protect American citizens and their property during the Boxer uprising; and, in modern times, various Presidents have sent detachments of Marines to trouble spots in the Latin American countries.

In all these cases, however, the expeditions were hardly more than a police force to protect American lives and property.

The United States Supreme Court has ruled that for such purposes the Commander-in-Chief did not need the prior approval of Congress — that, in such cases, prompt and effective action was necessary and could not be anticipated so as to allow time for Congressional consideration and authority.

* * *

But the American people realize that the present armed expedition to Iceland, no matter how justifiable or necessary, is in an altogether different category.

This is not "police work" to restore order in Iceland or to protect American lives and property. The armed force was dispatched to Iceland to defend the island base and, incidentally, our own shores, from threat of attack or invasion.

There is no doubt that the Axis powers regard this armed occupation as an act of war. Even the American people realize that our troops in Iceland will be forced to defend themselves in a shooting war, in case the British troops now occupying the island are attacked.

Quartering American troops with British troops, active belligerents, simply invites enemy attack.

Furthermore, Americans realize that Iceland cannot be brought into the "neutrality zone" of the Western Hemisphere by any sort of "legalistic" construction. Hitler already has decided that this island fortress is outside the Western Hemisphere; and the geographers' definitions agree with him.

Iceland, in simple reality, is an island base and transfer point in Britain's Newfoundland, Greenland, Iceland, Scotland and Northern Ireland chain.

* * *

And, finally, the American Executive has "entered into an agreement" with the British government for sending troops to Iceland, and this agreement was tantamount to an international treaty or defense pact.

Congress, particularly the United States Senate, has the constitutional authority to formulate and to ratify such international pacts and treaties.

Treaties, pacts and agreements with belligerent nations inevitably draw non-belligerents into war.

Great Britain informed the various Balkan nations that, as a result of their non-aggression and friendship pacts with Germany, they were, henceforth, considered to be active enemies and fair target for attack.

Under the same international law, Germany has as much right — and more reason —to apply the same standard to America, which has practically signed a "mutual non-aggression pact" with the British.

Britain attacked Roumania for permitting Nazi troops to march through her territory on the way to attack Jugoslavia and Greece. It was recognized that Roumania was forced to sign the treaty, having no other alternative.

America, however, freely entered into her pact with Britain regarding the protection of Iceland.

* * *

It is now quite universally accepted information that American engineers, workmen and contractors are working to erect naval bases in Northern Ireland.

It is remarkable that the Americans are not building these bases for Britain but for the United States and in officially recognized British territory.

The construction of these bases indicates that the Administration was not successful in bludgeoning neutral Eire into permitting the American Government to erect naval bases there as planned.

Furthermore, the occupation of Iceland and the construction of American bases in North Ireland indicate that this country has gone in for "all out" naval, aerial and merchant aid to the British Empire — even to guaranteeing safe delivery in British territory from American ships.

Now, all these important facts have been carefully kept from the American people who must fight the war after the policy makers' actions have created it.

Most Americans — at least 85 per cent of them — are vigorously opposed to any aid to Britain at the risk of war for our own country. These Americans naturally resent the secret conspiracies, "deals" and agreements which will ultimately commit this country as definitely as did the secret diplomacy of the Lansing-Wilson administration.

For every "Balfour document" of World War No. 1, there are ten secret Churchill-Roosevelt understandings in this era.

The New Deal Administration fulfills its promise to keep the sovereign people informed — but only AFTER the pacts and agreements have been discovered through developments and consequences, such as expeditions and, possibly, battles.

Jay Franklin—Unrealist

MR. JAY FRANKLIN writes a daily syndicated article known as *"We The People."*

Recently (July 14) he wrote:

"Is it a free society when those who a few years back were denouncing the 'merchants of death' have today become 'merchants of hate,' spreading hatred of Britain, hatred of Communism, hatred of the Jews, hatred of Roosevelt, hatred of everyone and everything which is not identified with Hitler?

"Is it a free society when some of our leading newspapers follow the editorial and news lines set by Berlin?"

For Mr. Franklin's information, American isolationists who are opposed to the merchandisers of murder have not become merchandisers of hate.

We hate no man.

But *we hate the philosophies* entertained by some men — particularly the philosophy of reducing the United States to a mere colony of Great Britain; the philosophy of reducing to cannon fodder the sons of America to fight an international Jewish war; the philosophy of deceit practiced by Mr. Jay Franklin's patrons who promised by all that was holy they would keep us out of war.

Does Jay Franklin think that we are to remain silent and applaud them?

Or, is he such an unrealist as to conclude that by opposing them we hate the misguided persons responsible for promoting such philosophies?

Unofficial Censorship

"WHEN a lot of British sailors are at bars in different cities of this country, to pretend we don't know they are there is an absurdity," declared Col. Robert R. McCormick, editor and publisher of the *Chicago Tribune* in an interview with the trade paper, *Editor & Publisher.*

The Chicago publisher warned newspaper editors to be on their guard against "unofficial censorship."

"There wouldn't be a reason for censorship if the Administration was obeying the law," Col. McCormick charged.

"The principal reason for censorship *is to cover up the infernal blunders in the design and manufacture of war weapons,"* he said. "It was always so. Censorship operates 90 to 95 per cent for the benefit of the censors as against the protection of the fighting men."

Col. McCormick cited a *Tribune* editorial dealing with censorship, published July 12, in which it was stated:

"Already we have a censorship in this country. It is a highly irregular and irresponsible censorship. It has no legal authorization in congressional legislation. It operates at the whim of Cabinet members who forbid the publication of important news for no better reason than that they don't want it published. Newspaper editors, with few exceptions, have accepted the restrictions; but the feeling is growing and will continue to grow that the readers' trust is being betrayed. Every newspaper editor worthy of his calling recognizes a duty to tell his readers what their Government is doing and why. If the newspapers fail to do their part, they must expect to lose the confidence of their subscribers.

"Some kind of censorship is probably inescapable in war. Every editor and every reader will recognize that in such a time of national peril news of the movement of troops, news of battle plans, and of strategic and tactical innovations cannot be published. If war comes, that kind of censorship would be accepted voluntarily as it was in the last war, but it would be better to impose it as a law, with the prohibitions clearly stated and the time limit fixed to coincide with the duration of hostilities . . ."

'Gabriel Over the White House'

What Is Coming to Pass in Washington?

THE STORY of Henry Ford, Fred Fisher, Horace Dodge and Walter Chrysler surpasses the imaginative dreams of story book Horatio Alger.

The achievements of Thomas Edison, Colonel Lindbergh and Eddie Rickenbacker dwarf the fanciful chapters penned by Jules Verne.

Likewise, what is taking place in Washington, D. C., is more realistic and factual than the pattern from which these events were cut.

And the pattern? Yes — the pattern.

Believe it or not, *"Gabriel Over the White House,"* while only a novel, seemed to foretell what now is history. Readers of this National Magazine have encountered this fanciful narrative before.

But down to the story: All month long we have been reading newspaper accounts which related the reaction of Congressmen to the activists in the ranks of labor.

These accounts— for the purpose of condensation — are summarized in the *United States News* for July 18th under the caption of "Labor's Power in Congress."

Quoting the *United States News*, "drastic regulation or restriction of labor union activities by the Government cannot be expected soon from Congress in its present mood. The record now shows that labor's political influence over Congress and the Administration is growing despite the unpopularity of recent defense strikes."

Only last week, the country was startled to learn that automotive production was to be cut 50 per cent by virtue of an order stemming from Mr. Roosevelt himself.

The above news was broadcast to the public on July 22nd.

On July 23rd, with startling suddenness, the order was reversed when President Thomas of the U.A.W. (C.I.O.) threatened to send a 5-million signature petition of protest to the White House.

Any person who thinks that either Congress or the Executive Department of our Government is in a mood to defy united labor is a dreamer.

Events prove that when labor is united — C.I.O. plus A. F. of L. — against any piece of legislation affecting union affairs, invariably the mind of labor has received the full support of the President.

So far this year the A. F. of L., C.I.O. and Railroad Brotherhoods have opposed several Administration bills restricting the right of labor to strike during the defense emergency.

* * *

The result has been the same in every instance.

The *May Bill*, originating from the House Military Affairs Committee, was swamped. The *Vinson Bill*, originating with the House Naval Affairs Committee — demanding a cool-off period before strikes — was greeted by 7,800,000 men quitting work to protest against passage of the bill.

Both bills are now as dead as dodo birds. One was defeated; the second — the *Vinson Bill* — was side-tracked.

Is there any person in America who thinks that last Fourth of July — Independence Day — was not the first anniversary of labor's independence? It marked the total independence of labor from capital and from Government.

It was identified with the surrender of the biggest private industry to labor in the instance of the Ford surrender to the U.A.W. It calendared the abject submission of Congress and the Executive branch of Government to labor.

Before vote was taken on the *Vinson Bill* to legislate a cooling-off period for labor and, therefore, to outlaw strikes, President Green of the A. F. of L. addressed a personal letter to each member of the House and Senate and included these words, namely, that the passage of the bill would "create bitter feeling and resentment among working people."

The above words were a silver scabbard carrying a double-edged sword; a silken glove covering a mailed fist.

Both Congress and the President so analyzed the words. And nothing was done to incite labor to unsheath the sword or discard the silken glove.

Following Mr. Green's letter was a nation-wide broadcast by Secretary-Treasurer Meany of the A. F. of L. who said that "were such legislation (as the *Vinson Bill*) ever to go on the statute books of our country, our national unity would be torn to tatters and Adolf Hitler, without having fired a shot, would have won his greatest victory."

The above are strong, defiant, forceful words.

Co-operating with President Green was Mr. Philip Murray, president of the C.I.O. On the occasion of the *Vinson Bill* debate, Mr. Murray wrote: "Democracy, with its basic and fundamental institutions is under serious attack."

No sooner were Murray's words spoken than the C.I.O.'s political arm — Labor's Non-Partisan League — swooped down on Washington with 150 labor leaders to lobby on Capitol Hill.

"Swooped" is the proper word to use.

And what happened to Congressmen?

The 150 labor leaders were only spearheads for many more who acted under their direction. In a businesslike manner Congressmen were buttonholed in the Capitol, approached in hotel lobbies, summoned out of bed in their homes and shadowed on the streets.

In forceful language the labor leaders and their minions told them that the *Vinson Bill* must not pass. If threats were used to sway Congressmen, they were veiled threats — as veiled as were President Green's words.

Eventually, Congressman Howard W. Smith, Democrat of Virginia, rose to address the House of Representatives after his colleagues had passed through a period of 40 hours which rivaled the 40 days of *"Musa Dagh."*

Congressman Smith charged, in a dramatic speech, that "goon squads" of C.I.O. members specially summoned to Washington for the purpose had called on members of the House to threaten reprisals at the 1942 elections if their vote was for the *May Bill*.

He could have said as much on the occasion of the vote taken for the *Vinson Bill* — a vote which did not mature.

* * *

Thus, truth is stranger than fiction if you will read a few more paragraphs of this comment.

Quoting from page 115 of *"Gabriel Over the White House,"* we read:

"The advent of Kuhl's marchers threw Congress into a state bordering on hysteria. Members wanted something done, but few had concrete proposals to make. Elderly Senators begged for military protection. Frisky young Representatives were ready to fight Kuhl's unspoken threat. A minority wanted to buy him off. A majority wanted a lead from the President. There were even vague whisperings of impeachment. Baffled, bewildered, frightened, Senators and Representatives were agreed on one thing — a passionate desire for immediate adjournment.

"The President sent for Senator John Franklin, the Nationalist floor leader, and for the Speaker of the House to inform them that he proposed to address a joint session of both Houses the following Friday noon. He gave no clue as to his purpose, but until Friday there was to be no adjournment.

"What had changed the attitude of Congress from arrogant superiority to nervous humility was not the political situation, not the broadcasts of the President, but a tactical development by Kuhl's men squatting in Potomac Park.

"The excessive demand for rolls of bandages was not, as Mayor Lodge thought, due to feet cut and bruised by much marching, but to the need of providing the squatters with a uniform.

"From Tuesday morning on, each of the marchers wore a wide white bandage on his left arm above the elbow. This badge enabled parties of men to move about the streets bearing firearms without police interference. It also made possible the execution of a plan of passive terrorism which was to reduce members of Congress to physical and nervous wrecks.

"The squatters had been supplied with

(Continued on Page 11)

'Sumner Welles' Bit of Old Aluminum'

—From the Louisville Courier-Journal

Comment

(Continued from Page 10)

the home address of each Senator and Congressman. Early on Tuesday afternoon three or four white-armleted men took up positions on the sidewalk outside the house or apartment of each member of Congress.

"They molested nobody and were perfectly orderly. At fixed intervals they were relieved by other squads. Day and night they maintained their surveillance. Whenever a Senator or Representative left his home he was grimly and silently followed at a few paces by three of these implacable, stony-faced guards. If he took an automobile they commandeered a taxi with their guns and followed. If he entered a restaurant, theatre, or public building, they waited outside for him. Sometimes they lost him in a shuffling crowd — but not often or for long. His tracks to the Capitol were dogged each morning, and in the afternoon he was trailed back to his club or home.

"At front entrances, at rear gates, outside hotels and restaurants, early in the morning, throughout the day and far into the night the 'white bands' were watching and waiting to provide an unwanted escort. Remonstrance with such a huge silent force was obviously futile. Two Senators did try to cane their 'shadows' but thereafter, by way of punishment, were followed by six squatters each instead of three!

"The 'Silent Terror' was all the more menacing and nerve-racking because there was no explanation of its purpose.

"The police, powerless to interfere, made no attempt to do so. At the White House the superintendent had learnt his lesson. Besides, the 'white bands' were not guilty of any statutory offense.

"As the days passed, these uncanny, mute and never-relaxing shadows completely unnerved Congress. Dozens of legislators complained of 'breakdowns' and left Washington. Others stayed in their homes rather than attend the sessions at the Capitol. The actual meetings of Congress became brief demoralized affairs which reduced the prestige of that body almost to the vanishing point. The press, always contemptuous of Congress, was afforded a field day of ridicule and sarcasm."

* * *

The above excerpt from "*Gabriel Over the White House*" is devastating to the minds of keen observers. True, the novel is nothing more than a novel. But equally true, the secretary of David Lloyd George (Lord Lothian) — who reputedly had much to do with writing the novel — had either a transcendental sense of peering into the future or co-operating with the group who had a plan in mind to bring about the destruction of constitutional government and the birth of a proletarian form of government for America.

The tragedy associated with this revealing story is that the American public has not had the story told.

And this leads us to ask why the press of the nation appears to be so irresponsive to the most newsworthy story of the decade — a story which, without fanfare, is boiled down to the one sentence: *The C.I.O. and the A. F. of L. take over the Government of the United States.*

Why does not the press of the nation publicize that Harry Bridges, the coddled Communist of labor, is at the head of the "goon squad" in Washington?

Well, "*Gabriel Over the White House*" may afford us another answer — one touching on this question. On page 85 of the novel we read:

"The President dismissed the proposal but was a little more expansive than usual. 'I don't use threats, Lindsey,' he said. 'They show an inferiority complex, a weak man's subterfuge when action is called for. In the case of the press, action has already been taken, not by me, but by the public. At the very moment when the press seemed invincible in its power to influence the public mind, its sovereignty has been ended."

Incidentally, the thought occurred that copies of "*Gabriel Over the White House*" would be in demand by readers of this comment. Book-sellers whom we contacted are not only out of copies but do not know where to secure more.

Bases in Ireland

It therefore occurred to us to append a subcomment to this story which deals chiefly with "goon squads" at Washington.

The sub-comment deals with the activity now engaged in by American engineers and working men in the North of Ireland.

Two weeks ago, President Roosevelt and the State Department answered a congressional question by stating that American citizens were employed in the North of Ireland building airports and other facilities to enable America to deliver the goods to Britain.

In 1933, when "*Gabriel Over the White House*" was copyrighted by Farrar and Rinehart, Incorporated, and printed by The Ferris Printing Company, New York, the casual reader thought its contents were nothing more than the effusions of a psychopathic fictionist.

What is transpiring in the North of Ireland at this moment leads one to a different conclusion.

Before printing the excerpt from "*Gabriel Over the White House*" which touches on this point, may we express our opinion that this entire World War *was plotted, planned, sealed and delivered, by a small group of internationalists who are fighting for the control of the world.*

There are no accidents. Everything goes according to blueprint. Roosevelt was no accident; Willkie was no accident; Churchill was no accident and above all, the partition of Ireland into the North and South, thereby leaving Ulster a part of Great Britain, was no accident.

The big plan on the part of the non-Zionists is concerned with making that portion of Ireland the world capital.

Vaults will be built in the rocks of Inishowen to hold the gold now buried at Fort Knox.

Runways will be constructed to land seagoing airplanes.

Britain and the United States, merged into one though the program of union nowism, will make this part of Ireland the world's capital.

On page 231 of "*Gabriel Over the White House*" (written in 1933, remember) we read:

"The British Prime Minister and Hammond, it quickly became evident, had reached an agreement in this matter. An offer was made on behalf of Britain, with the full approval of the Government of Ulster, to cede for all time that diamond-shaped district in the North of Ireland known as the Peninsula of Inishowen. This site was almost ideally situated on the direct plane route between North America and Europe. On the Eastern side Loch Foyle and on the Western Loch Swilly provided safe natural anchorages and a training ground for the international fleet of the future. The sparsely populated mainland was readily adaptable for the air fields and camps of the flying corps and its auxiliary troops.

"To the Northwest of the peninsula was to be selected a site on which eventually rose the majestic International City, permanent home of the World Council.

"Today, International City, 'The Capital of the World,' with its imposing buildings, broad avenues and gracious amenities, is an even greater monument to Hammond than Washington, D. C., is to his distinguished predecessor."

* * *

Naturally, we do not want to be classified wholly as crystal gazers and novel believers.

Nevertheless, we regard not only the "goon squad" prediction of "*Gabriel Over the White House*" as something more than an accident but also the "international city of the world" — the capital of the world — as a plan to be fulfilled in the future when the representatives of the war-wearied peoples of civilization will sit down to guarantee no more war.

Take it or leave it.

Truth is stranger than fiction.

Irish Denounce Willkie's Scheme

IN THE foregoing comment reference was made to that part of "*Gabriel Over the White House*" in which was predicted the building of an international city in the north of Ireland.

That prediction is of considerable interest at the moment in so far as Wendell Willkie, the cracked gramaphone record which reproduces "his master's voice," proposes that the United States take over the northern ports and bases in Ireland.

The proposal followed a conference which Willkie had with President Roosevelt at the White House recently.

Forthwith, the American Friends of Irish Neutrality roundly denounced Willkie. Their memorandum said that the Willkie plan was characterized by a violation not only of Ireland's neutrality and of the four freedoms enunciated by President Roosevelt, but is "brazenly inconsistent with American tradition."

In so far as so many predictions made in "*Gabriel Over the White House*" are being realized, and in so far as Mr. Roosevelt is victimized by the brain storms of these novelists, we trust that the Irish will appreciate that Willkie's plan encompasses more than the mere taking over the ports in Northern Ireland. Just possibly, it may be a step on the part of the international subversives to realize a dream of world-wide domination.

Comment

F. D. R. and 'Philip Dru'

TWENTY years hence students will be pondering the question: "Was F.D.R. a victim of novelists?"

Evidences are beginning to accumulate to corroborate the affirmation that Franklin D. Roosevelt's mind was victimized by the contents of the novel, *"Gabriel Over the White House."*

Other evidences — even more plentiful — indicate that Mr. Roosevelt's imaginative mental processes were molded by a second novel entitled *"Philip Dru."*

In 1912 Col. Edward Mandell House expressed his political philosophy as applied to the Government of the United States in *"Philip Dru,"* which he perpetrated anonymously.

Col. House, who was the alter ego of Woodrow Wilson ("He-kept-us-out-of-war" Wilson), entertained the hope that the ideas he incorporated in this book would be reduced to legislation by the Wilson administration.

Mr. Arthur D. Howden Smith, personal friend and biographer of Col. Edward Mandell House, wrote the following words in his authoritative book, *"Mr. House of Texas":*

"I do not know whether Mr. House gave one of the original copies of his book to Mrs. Roosevelt, senior, or to the young man who was to become President of the United States. But he most certainly presented a copy to Professor Felix Frankfurter, of Harvard Law School, who found much to admire in Mr. House's rough conceptions for whittling out a better system of social justice.

"Professor Frankfurter — who is now Mr. Justice Frankfurter, of the Supreme Court — was scheduled to duplicate with Mr. Roosevelt, more than anyone else, including Howe, the part played by Mr. House with President Wilson. But whoever interested the new President in Philip Dru's ideas for remodeling the United States, it is impossible to compare Dru's suggested legislation with Mr. Roosevelt's and not be impressed by their similarity.

"Dru considered the *Constitution* 'not only outmoded, but grotesque.' He asserted that 'every man or woman who desires work shall have it, even if the Government has to give it.' He demanded an eight-hour, six-day week, which, of course, has been outmoded, typical of the way in which the New Deal outran Dru. He was for 'adequate wages,' while an attempt to reduce wages would give an employe the right to take legal proceedings against an employer. He was for compulsory recognition of unions, old-age pensions, laborers' insurance laws and co-operative loan societies under Government supervision. He wanted, rather vaguely, 'reformation of the study and practice of medicine.' He wanted reform of all the courts, and the Supreme Court in particular, which held a 'Damoclean sword,' and he was for compulsory retirement of all judges at seventy. He foresaw the Securities and Exchange Commission in a decree for Federal regulation of Stock, Cotton and Produce Exchanges; and he was most drastic in his projected regulation of Public Utilities. The nation and states were to share in the earnings of all corporations, including utilities, with the right of access to their books and the figures of salaries paid to high officials. Above and beyond this, Dru wanted to dissolve all holding companies. And, finally, Dru believed in 'fireside talks' to discuss politics!"

* * *

It is noteworthy to present these views, the validity of which can be corroborated by a cursory reading of *"Philip Dru."*

Not so long ago, Mr. Roosevelt, fond admirer and religious devotee of President Wilson, made public effort to rescue the memory of his predecessor in office from the depths to which disillusioned Americans had flung it. And this, because he got himself re-elected to the Presidency of the United States on the demagogic appeal of "He kept us out of war."

As a matter of fact, he (Wilson) already had jockeyed us into war as he was mouthing his words of peace and prosperity to the voting citizens of the United States.

Will history show that Franklin Delano Roosevelt copied precisely this vicious characteristic of Woodrow Wilson?

Definitely, Mr. Roosevelt is not accepting Wilson's judgment of the *Peace Treaty of Versailles.* Nor is he accepting the judgment of the author of *"Philip Dru"* — the novel which he and Felix Frankfurter accepted from time to time as their political bible.

To confirm this last statement, recollect what Arthur Howden Smith says of the *Treaty of Versailles* which was responsible, more than any other incident, for generating World War No. 2 — a treaty which, probably, would not have been signed had Woodrow Wilson decreed otherwise.

Says the author of *"Mr. House of Texas":*

"As I write this, the worst consequences which Mr. House foresaw as possible contingencies of the errors of the *Treaty of Versailles,* and the failure of the League of Nations to correct them, have been realized. Twenty-six years of history, the lives of millions of people, billions of capital investment, have gone for nothing. The world is back where it was in August, 1914, with every likelihood that man's inventiveness in the interim will create more destruction in the coming months than he achieved in the period of 1914-18."

Considering that Col. House was President Woodrow Wilson's other self; recollecting that he was the Felix Frankfurter of the first World War President of the United States; and remembering that the biographer — Mr. Smith — was most intimate with Mr. House, we quote the words which he caused to be imprinted on page 322 of his authoritative biography.

He said:

"Clemenceau, curtly, peremptorily, the venom of 1871 vibrant in his voice, addressed them briefly. They were handed the bulky document, with its itemized list of cessions and penalties imposed upon them, terms ranging from the sublime to the ridiculous — surrender of Alsace-Lorraine and their colonies, relinquishment of their choicest coal and iron mines, return of captured French battle flags, virtual disarmament, loss of most of their war and merchant fleets and the pick of their livestock, restitution of the skull of a petty African chief. Worst of all, this ruthless instrument of vengeance required them to sign an admission of their guilt for the war they had lost. It is small wonder that Count von Brockdorff-Rantzau, head of their delegation, shaken and ill — and typifying in his own mind, at least, the victims of his ruined caste — should have spoken bitterly against this excess of humiliation inflicted upon a proud nation: 'We are far from declining any responsibility that this great war of the world has come to pass. But we deny that Germany and its people were alone guilty.'

"His words are still a blot upon the escutcheons of the nations which exacted them, including the United States."

It is a well known fact that, following President Wilson's second marriage, he and Col. House came to the parting of the ways. At that time Mr. Wilson regretted his participation in the nefarious *Peace Treaty of Versailles.* Possibly, he remembered the efforts made by him — through the Colonel — to have the Congress of the United States accept the *Peace Treaty.*

Possibly, he remembered the hectic conversation engaged in on the part of the blind Lord Grey, Ambassador from Britain, and Col. House — both of whom had tried with all their Herculean might to persuade Senator Borah and his colleagues to attach their signature of acceptance to the *Peace Treaty* which the United States Congress refused to validate.

* * *

The whole story is told in *"Mr. House of Texas"* which readers can borrow from the public library.

Wilson clearly foresaw the inevitabilities which were destined to follow from that unjust document even though he hoped that its disastrous arrangements could be remedied through the agency of the League of Nations. But this nation refused to participate in the League of Nations.

While Mr. Roosevelt mouths praise for Wilson, he refuses to accept Wilson's judgment relative to the *Peace Treaty of Versailles.*

Carbon Copy Roosevelt

IN POPULAR language Mr. Wendell Willkie was referred to as "Me too" Willkie.

He earned this description by his seconding practically everything Mr. Roosevelt said during the presidential campaign when oratory was admitedly used to deceive.

Mr. "Carbon Copy Roosevelt" emerged from a conference with the President the other day to urge, on the White House steps, that the occupation of Iceland be followed by the establishment of American bases in Northern Ireland and Scotland.

This means the shooting of American sons.

The *Chicago Daily Tribune* remarks:

"This country is in the damndest train of governmental double dealing and deceit it ever experienced. It is a national misfortune that whenever Mr. Roosevelt publicly announces he will not do a thing he is at that moment preparing to do it."

Thus, both Roosevelt and Carbon Copy Roosevelt, who announced some months ago that they would keep American sons out of war, are suspected of having had their minds made up to get them into war.

Comment

Bundle from Britain—C.O.D.

REPRESENTATIVE Paul W. Shafer of Michigan is quoted in the *Congressional Record* (July 16, Appendix) as follows:

"Sending dead American heroes home to their final rest, 'decomposed and C.O.D.,' is just about the most heartless and brazen act of ingratitude that has yet been foisted upon Uncle Sam, who seems well on the road to finding himself rechristened in the eyes of the world as 'Uncle Sap.' "

The Congressman's comment referred to a news report, introduced into the *Record* by him, stating that Mrs. Annie C. Alsop, grandmother of Joseph Anthony Alsop — who left Washington to join the British forces in Canada, and who was "accidentally killed by a rifle shot while on active duty July 2"—had expressed surprise at having to pay the transportation expenses of $119 before Canada would ship the boy's body back from St. Johns, Newfoundland.

Of course, the United States, likewise, paid for the privilege of having its soldiers fight for the Allies and fill their cemeteries in World War No. 1, but they were in the U. S. Army.

Young Alsop was in the Canadian army. But the funeral bill was left entirely to his relatives in the United States.

* * *

In his *"Cruise of the Nona,"* Hilaire Belloc, the British writer and historian, ridicules the American people for their "ignorance" in believing that it was either their duty, or in their interests, to send their sons 3,000 miles to death in England.

What's more, it is now quite well established that the Hon. W. Churchill did state that the United States had contributed to create Naziism by not "minding our own business" and allowing England to make a negotiated peace with Germany in 1917.

The British welshed on their debts and contemptuously called this country "Uncle Shylock." Not many years later, they worked with their cohorts — including a majority of newspapers in this country — to single out France and Belgium as "barbarian" countries, committing atrocities of the worst sort.

Meanwhile, they boosted the moral stock of Germany and proceeded to build up the very Hitler whom they now tell us is a "mad man" — after supporting and praising him, and co-operating with him, until 1939!

Teachers for Britain

"BUNDLES for Britain" soon will be translated — at least in part — to "Teachers for Britain."

The British government has announced a program for improving the teaching of American history in English schools.

And to execute this improvement, teachers are to be imported from the United States.

It is to be expected that more than 1,000 British teachers will receive instructions from the Americans.

Among the lecturers will be Harold Laski, British Laborite and economist, who has taught in American universities; Willard Connery, of the American Universities Union; Vincent Sheean, American foreign correspondent and author; Sir Frederick White, author; Christopher Hollis, British Catholic educator and "holy war" proponent, who toured extensively in America; and Mary Welsh of *Time* and *Life* magazines.

Those who know the record of Laski, Sheean and the rest of the group will anticipate the kind words they will say about that most rebillious colonist known as George Washington.

New Deal 'Adviser' Counsels Peace

"ARGUMENTS of the war interventionists stem from self-interest," declared George N. Peek, Moline, Ill., manufacturer and economist, speaking before a Town Hall discussion group in Los Angeles.

"I refer," said he, "to the international bankers, exporters, importers and the like, as distinguished from the business concerns which do some foreign business incidental to their domestic duties, but whose business is primarily domestic."

The speaker, who is a manufacturer of farm implements, was a member of the War Industries Board in 1917, administrator of the *Agricultural Adjustment Act* in 1933, and special advisor to President Roosevelt on foreign trade in 1934.

In answer to Wendell Willkie's argument that we may have to get into the war to save our foreign trade, Mr. Peek attaches little importance to our foreign trade, asserting that 90 per cent of our trade is domestic.

He said:

"Our purchases from foreign countries support a large part of the economy of many of them. This economy does not shift overnight with the flag of the overlord. They must continue to rely upon our market."

Even our import needs, to Mr. Peek's way of thinking, can be solved at home; because most of them — including something as vital as rubber — can be produced synthetically.

Mr. Peek agreed wholly with a remark credited to Henry Ford during the depression: "Whatever we do, we shall need to do at home: the place to revive the standard of living in the United States, is within the United States."

Why Alter Our Way?

WHEN the Republic of the United States of America was established, more than a century and a half ago, European statesmen predicted that it could not last 50 years.

They were mistaken.

"Perhaps," observes John Steven McGroarty in the *Los Angeles Times*, "they should have stretched the time!

"The statesmen of the Republic in its early days would stand in amazement and bewilderment at political conditions, could they rise from their graves to behold the changes that have taken place since their day," the writer continues.

"An example is the failure of Congress to fulfill the mandate of the *Constitution* to be the sole arbiter of the coinage of money and to fix the value thereof, delegating that power to the Executive. The delegtaion of so many others of its powers and duties by Congress to the Executive, powers that increase almost with the passing of each day, until even regular functions of Congress have been quite wholly abandoned.

"Be it as it may, it is certain that Washington, Jefferson and Lincoln would not like the new way could they know about it. They would not be able to understand why the nation should not continue the way it started, no matter how the rest of the world might change.

"The Old World goes along the way it has always gone with wars, conquests, loot and mass murder. But why should the New World change from its old ways?"

Willkie's History

WHEN newspaper reporters brought to Wendell Willkie's attention that, according to popular polls conducted by the *New York Daily News* and the *Chicago Tribune*, the people in the Northeast and Middlewest are opposed to war by 3 to 1 and 4 to 1 respectively, the Republican leader made a brilliant comment.

He said, in effect, that if similar popular polls had been taken in the Civil War year of 1861, they would have revealed a majority of the people opposed to war, but that Lincoln, being a great leader, took the country into war notwithstanding the sentiment and convictions of the people.

Our comment is that, first of all, Mr. Roosevelt is no Lincoln; and secondly, we never knew it was Mr. Lincoln who provoked the Confederate attack on Fort Sumter, which precipitated the Civil War. Perhaps, Mr. Willkie has discovered some hitherto unknown facts concerning that incident.

Sumter is not the issue before us today, however. The point is that America is engaged in a non-shooting war and that one more step may easily turn it into a shooting war. The nation has been put in this position without either the knowledge or approval of the people who must fight and pay for the war.

It is our honest conviction that most of the people who voted for Mr. Roosevelt's re-election last Fall did so in the belief that he would fulfill his solemn promise to keep America out of foreign wars.

We also think they were deceived.

Franco Speaks

GENERALISSIMO Francisco Franco clarified the diplomatic atmosphere, according to an Associated Press dispatch of July 17th.

Keen observer and great soldier that he is, the Spanish Chief of State declared that the Allies already have lost the war. He said:

"America's entrance into the war could lead to ruin of American nations which need liberty of the seas for their economic life."

That Franco is definitely on the side of the Axis in the fight against Russia is a certainty. And where Franco goes, there goes Salazar of Portugal.

Does the United States comprehend that Franco's speech indicates a united Europe — united against British imperialism and Russian Bolshevism?

Comment

English Criticize British

ACCORDING to the *Weekly Review* of London, from which we have been moved to quote on frequent occasions, "words have a way of running *amok* and landing those who trust them in disaster."

"One example," continues the editorial, "is the old hack 'democracy,' which has galloped so hard in so many directions that it has carried its riders clean off the earth into a utopian mist of unreality.

"But 'democracy' has a close rival in 'planning' and the 'planned State.'

"Before it is too late let us examine the qualifications of this popular steed and see where it is likely to lead us.

"It is a very simple matter to set down the arguments adduced in favor of the planned State. They may be summarized in two words: efficiency and economy.

"Efficiency, we are told, results from a unified control that has been thoroughly thought out beforehand; and economical use of our resources is ensured by the same means, and especially by supplanting small individual units (of which the family is the prime example) by uniform communal or semi-communal organizations.

"It is cheaper, for example, for a hundred (or, it may be, a thousand) people to eat standardized meals communally than for the various families making up these numbers to eat separately and according to their individual tastes. Or again, it is more efficient (and 'democratic') for every child to attend one or other of a few planned types of State schools than for each family to choose for itself among a bewildering hotch-potch of amateur experiments in education.

"Such arguments as these lie at the root of the planners' creed. They should be examined on two counts: first, whether the arguments are in themselves sound; and secondly, supposing that the intended action were to produce the intended result, whether that result would be beneficial or the reverse.

"First, then, as to the claim that large scale planning produces efficiency. Here we have two sources of evidence: big business (the control of which has been lately approximating more and more to unity); and the government departments themselves. Big business is usually efficient in two ways, and only two: in enriching those in control of it and in its ability (but not necessarily its intention) to reduce the price of a commodity at the sacrifice of its quality. State departments are hardly ever efficient either in the matter of economy or quality; their *raison d'etre* resides in the fact that certain activities (such as defense of the country, policing, taxation, etc.) are the function of government and not of individual citizens.

"It will thus be seen that State planning of the lives of citizens is not likely to be efficient, and it will only be economic in the sense that it may lessen costs by standardization; and even here one must not forget that State officials (like their confreres of big business) have every opportunity of enriching themselves out of the balance thus obtained.

"What State planning really means is therefore a transference of responsibility from the family to the State official. In certain instances, as we have seen, this is both legitimate and advantageous. But it is perfectly clear that the greater the encroachment of the State, the less the responsibility, and hence the value, of the ordinary citizen. And this is not all; for, while the deterioration of the latter continues, the former can never hope to adapt itself to the infinite variety of local or family affairs. The result is that interference by the State in matters within the competence of its subjects to deal with saps the character of a nation until slavery is the only alternative to chaos.

"Let us take an example. Communal feeding may be necessary in large centers under the stress of war, but if it is allowed to become the rule when that stress is no longer present, it will inevitably remove from the people as a whole one of the central responsibilities of human life. There will be a gain in cheapness at the expense of domesticity and the stability of the home — to say nothing of taste and individual needs. Government schools and government social services, beyond a bare minimum to cover exceptional circumstances, have the same effect. The individual becomes less and less able to fend for himself and so to serve his country in any real sense."

* * *

And the *Weekly Review* article concludes:

"All those preachers and publicists who daily flood our press with plans for putting us into pens after the war would, if they had ordinary human vision, be using their influence to arrive at exactly the opposite result. They would be seeking every opportunity for returning to English men and women the responsibilities and the means of fulfilling them that has been lost, and for enlarging the number of those capable of helping in reconstruction.

"That they are not doing this can only be explained in one or other of two ways: either they imagine that the best course for a country impoverished by war is to subsidize the bulk of its inhabitants (the difficulties of such a plan does not seem to occur to them); or else they are place-hunters, who put the chance of petty gains for themselves before the welfare of the nation.

"Planning undoubtedly there must be, but, if our reconstruction is to be worth while, it will be local and family planning."

Altruism, No Doubt!

A CLASSIC example of what an Administration senator can do for a constituent in connection with defense contracts is Senator Claude Pepper's intervention in the Elgin Field asphalt deal.

Allied Materials, Inc., of Atlanta, had been awarded a low-bid contract to supply the Government with asphalt for use on the Florida field's runways.

A few days later, however, Pan American Petroleum Corporation, a Florida concern represented by Millard F. Caldwell, a member of Pepper's old law firm, was cut in for 1,800,000 gallons on a compromise deal worked out in conjunction with the War Department.

Caldwell, a former Congressman, had threatened an injunction suit to halt consummation of the original award.

Three times while compromise negotiations were in progress, Senator Pepper's office called the army officer in charge and finally received assurances that the Senator would be informed of the final decision.

When it was made, Pepper's secretary told the army officer that the Senator thought the compromise was "a fine solution," and that "he was sure that as long as a Florida contractor could deliver up to 1,800,000 gallons he would offer no objection to the Allied contract for 3,600,000." Earlier Pepper had vigorously challenged the award to Allied.

Wanted! Men to do Voluntary War Work

No men! We do not wish to befuddle your minds by teaching you the rhythm of "Knit two — purl two." Let's leave that to the women volunteers who are now spending their busy hours doing Red Cross work and entering into various projects for the European victims.

Let's get busy and do something for our own citizens before "sewing bees" will be addressing their bundles to our own boys.

Won't you volunteer to see that ten minds are imbued with the truth?

Will you make it a point to see that SOCIAL JUSTICE gets into the hands each week of ten citizens who are fed up with the propaganda they are now reading?

Before it is too late – fill out the following coupon.

I volunteer to do my part for our boys. I believe in the famous old words: "In Union There is Strength."

Please send me further information.

Name _____

Street _____

City and State _____

Simply address
SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING COMPANY
Royal Oak, Mich.

Comment

The Honeymoon Is Over

ACCORDING to London, the American people should be prepared for a retreat in Russia. A recent issue of the *"Baxter Letter"* says that, likely, Germany will conquer Russia shortly and that this German conquest is to be a victory of the Right over the Left.

Thus, the economic resources of the continent of Europe will fall under control of Adolf Hitler.

He will have the oil fields of Baku and the wheat fields of the Ukraine. He will be enabled to fight for years to come on an economic basis divorced from gold and international credit. To contest his future aggressions, the United States will continue to prepare on a basis of gold and debt.

And this means that with seven years more of national defense piled up in America, we will have spent ourselves into totalitarianism through the back door of bankruptcy.

Meanwhile, talk will be prevalent relative to our sending an American expeditionary force to Europe, to Asia and to South America.

Meanwhile, England will have fallen, having suffered the same fate as France.

Meanwhile, Washington will be hedged in and confined by the non-gold nations as she fights a lone battle with the possible assistance of Canada, Australia and New Zealand.

* * *

Have we paused to analyze what is meant by America's occupancy of Iceland, Greenland, the West Indies and Bermuda?

Definitely, there is only one analysis. It means that Britannia no longer rules the waves.

Does one think that England relishes the United States taking over these possessions and becoming mistress of the seas even though Hitler is defeated?

Assuredly not.

As bold as is this statement, England will prefer to make peace with Germany and retain her island possessions outside England rather than have them surrendered to the United States, which is controlled not by Americans but by a group of international-minded charlatans.

Every former prospect of the outcome of this war, according to Americans, is fast disappearing.

Ten months ago, we were so sure the allied forces would withstand Hitler's forces. Ten months ago, we were so sure the United States would not send her boys to foreign soil.

That thought has disappeared.

Ten months ago, we were so sure that Hitler and Stalin were bedfellows aiming to dominate the destinies of the world. That thought has disappeared.

Ten months ago, we were so sure that the gold standard and international capitalism would endure. That thought has disappeared.

The honeymoon of high finance is over. This may be a long war, in so far as the United States will be preparing for a great war for the next seven years.

As far as Germany is concerned, this has been a short war now that all Europe is in the bag; now that England soon will be in the bag either through a negotiated peace or through conquest.

* * *

And the honeymoon of high finance is also over for those who thought they would profit considerably by participating in the blood business; by becoming merchandisers of murder.

How they have been disillusioned!

Likewise, these hopeful thinkers; these wishful thinkers have been deflated. We mean those who were persistently predicting an internal collapse in Germany. As a matter of fact, the non-gold nations are standing by Germany and standing against international capitalism dominated by the Rothschilds, the Warburgs and the warmongers.

The trouble with America is that it is present-minded. Under Roosevelt's warmad regimentation we have ceased being future-minded.

Stubbornly the President has refused to rectify the ills and abuses identified with the private issuance and control of money.

Stubbornly he has persisted in borrowing more billions from the Federal Reserve Bank which had nothing but pen and ink and paper to lend.

Stubbornly he has increased taxation upon the American property owners and consumers while he clings tenaciously to the immorality that a private corporation — the Federal Reserve Bank — can issue money out of nothing while the taxpayers must make money by the sweat of their brow.

Indeed, the honeymoon of high finance is over for the New Deal and for Franklin D. Roosevelt.

* * *

Possibly, the New Deal will be followed in office by a proletarian form of government directed by the labor unions of America and operated for their welfare.

Europe will have crawled out of the ditch into which international capitalism forced her. Europe may have scars. Europe may have turned a right face upon religionists, politicians, professors and parliamentarians who blindly supported the policy of Bank of Englandism throughout the years; who blindly upheld the immorality of usury while teeming masses of people suffered.

Nevertheless, Europe will have crawled out of the ditch and will be able to bask in the sunshine of freedom which gradually will break through the blackened clouds.

But America is just entering the ditch with its suffering, poverty, mass regimentation, Marxian Socialism and confiscation of property.

Nevertheless, the honeymoon is over for the international capitalistic plunderbunds.

Indeed, as Mr. Roosevelt indicates, *the American people have no idea how serious the international situation is.*

We doubt if he himself knows how serious it is for himself and for the internationalists whom he has supported in season and out of season.

Any Pots, Any Pans, Any Kettles Today?

AS USUAL, the American people are being Barnumized.

They like to be fooled. And this time they are being fooled on the aluminum campaign.

We are told that there is a "shortage of aluminum." That statement is both true and false.

We are being told that old pots, kettles and pans can be used directly in the manufacture of airplane and motor parts. That statement is not true.

Once aluminum has been processed into a kitchen kettle, it is most difficult to utilize it either for an airplane or a motor part.

It can be re-processed for another kettle, pot or pan.

All in all, the aluminum campaign — which makes Uncle Sammy a back-alley peddler — will obtain millions of pounds of pots and pans from smiling housewives who, in a month or so, will visit some hardware store to purchase a new one made from the old one.

Therefore, the question arises: "Who gets the money and makes the profit?"

* * *

As for a shortage in aluminum, may we quote Malcolm Bingay of the *Detroit Free Press* staff (July 24):

"To begin with there is no shortage of aluminum. About 13 per cent of the outer crust of the earth is aluminum. You will find it right in your backyard or in those rubies and sapphires you are wearing. You can find it in water and in all growing plants.

"All you have to do is take a hunk of it and shoot a lot of electricity through it, and there you are. But, that's the rub. While it is the most abundant metallic element it always occurs in combination with other minerals such as bauxite, cryolite, corundum, alunite, diaspore, turquoise, spinel — not to mention such silicates as kaolin, feldspar, and mica. You can readily see how deeply I have gone into this matter . . .

"There is really no monopoly on aluminum and, therefore, at least technically, no aluminum trust. The exclusiveness of manufacture is due to the difficult technique of making it — with the attendant expenditure of many millions in research.

"Yet it is the most vital metal on earth today and one that might easily determine whether Hitler and his group are to rule the world. To meet the Nazis' production it is estimated that the United States must turn out 1,200,000 pounds of this metal a year.

"The dishpan parade may collect about 20-million pounds of the metal which will be used as substitutes for the pure aluminum . . .

"The shortage is not in the raw material but in electrical power!"

* * *

Had Mr. Roosevelt been less socialistic in his T.V.A. policies and less antagonistic towards the privately owned power corporations, the last eight years would have seen huge expansions in the manufacture of electricity.

It is a case of his own crows coming home to roost.

Meanwhile, our national aluminum policy will depend much on boy scoutism and back-alley-ism.

Letters

SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.

More Power to Congress, Not Less

TO THE EDITOR:

War or peace was the major issue in the last national election. That is why both major candidates promised over and over again to keep this country out of war.

Mr. Roosevelt was re-elected, in spite of the very important third term tradition, because more voters believed he was best fitted to keep his pledge.

By his words and actions since then he has laid his campaign promise open to the suspicion of an "empty gesture." Quick action by our Congress now, alone will keep us out.

The whole structure of American Government is built upon the foundation of many freedoms, the keystone of which is self-rule by way of the will of the majority of all the people.

That will has expressed itself many times as being overwhelmingly opposed to entering this Old World war. And yet, in spite of this, a powerful clique of planners has pushed us to the very brink of war.

We must stay out of this war or lose for all time our "keystone," our free will to act as free men.

There are two ways to keep out:

We, the people, must take back at once all the power that was misplaced in one hand and return it where it belongs, namely to Congress;

And (2) we, the people, must demand that our duly elected Congress remove from office whoever holds and misuses the misplaced powers.

New York City. — C.H.

A 'Curved' Ball?

TO THE EDITOR:

We have a good ball club in Minneapolis — fellows who can hit them straight from the shoulder. We had another "club" in the same city, recently, whose Ball went afoul of every issue involved. I am referring to Senator Ball's speech in which he attempted to answer Mr. Lindbergh.

I feel that a pro-war talk at an independence celebration was most inappropriate. I wonder how some of the good Norwegian mothers and fathers, who have sons of draft age or already in service, felt toward War-Monger Ball.

That Mr. Ball is U. S. Senator from Minnesota is not the voters' fault, as he was appointed to fill a good man's shoes, but how ill they fit! His job was to represent the majority but, instead, he acknowledges the fact that he represents the minority by stating that, in coming to Minnesota, he was "risking his political skin."

Melrose, Minn. — Dr. S.J.R.

Basis of Unity

TO THE EDITOR:

The American people are united behind the defense program!

Where the disunity arises is when we see a Government which has dedicated itself to peace, laying its plans carefully for an offensive war.

I do not think the selectees themselves would mind if we amended the *Selective Service Law*, if they could be assured that it was for defense only.

To sum up: we are a united people for defense. We are disunited for war.

Looking facts squarely in the face, we know by now that we cannot adequately train an Army in a year. We must amend the *Selective Service Law* and extend the period of training.

But some way must be found to convince the President that the majority of us want no part of any offensive war.

America can only be as strong as the minds, the bodies, the hearts and the souls of its people.

Philadelphia, Pa. — R.F.S.

She Saw It Once

TO THE EDITOR:

I have stopped writing to Congress.

What is the use? The "representatives" from my district are all "rubber stamp" and 100 per cent plus pro-war.

The last communication I sent to them was before the passage of the *Lend Lease Bill*. I did not receive one acknowledgment, and all three representatives voted for "1776."

America is being shoved even more energetically up to the precipice of war since Litvinov-Finkelstein-Wallach asked Great Britain to help the Soviets by sending an expeditionary force to the continent.

Whither America? May God forgive our indifference. The pleas of our real patriots are being ignored.

If it is fated for the Communist terror to reign here, may God take my life before it happens. I could never go through it AGAIN.

Vineland, N. J. — Z.V.

'Let's Get Together'

TO THE EDITOR:

I am in favor of the idea expressed in a letter to the editor of the July 14th edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE "Let's Get Together" by J.H.S.

Congratulations to the person who wrote it.

Parma, Ohio. — B.D.

Editor of 'Credit Unionist' Writes of Church and Co-ops

TO THE EDITOR:

On December 24th, 1940 (SOCIAL JUSTICE, Jan. 20, 1941) I wrote you in part that the Catholic Church officially and repeatedly has not only endorsed, but promoted Consumer Co-operation "without any qualification whatsoever."

To this part of my letter F.A.G. of Cincinnati, Ohio, makes a response (SOCIAL JUSTICE, July 21, 1941) starting off with "that statement is false."

I am not offended by his calling my statement "false" because he proved the falseness of his own statement when he admitted that the *Bishops' Program of Social Reconstruction* (1919) "advocated the Consumer Co-ops."

F.A.G. seems to know still less about co-operatives when he states: ". . . the Consumer Co-op movement is a producing and marketing organization" whereas the United States Chamber of Commerce (certainly not 'promoters') have stated that "the end set by consumers' co-operatives is reduction in costs of distribution, with all profits accruing to consumers."

F.A.G. is confused in distinguishing his subject from producers' co-operatives, which are diametrically opposed to consumer co-operatives, and have nothing in common with them except part of their name.

Oddly enough, this *Bishops' Program*, which was originally issued Feb. 12, 1919, was republished by the National Catholic Welfare Conference (N.C.W.C.) in April, 1939, with an introduction to the 20th anniversary edition by the Most. Rev. Edward Mooney, Archbishop of Detroit and chairman of the administrative board of the N.C.W.C., who in mentioning the eleven proposals of the *Bishops' Program* stated:

"Of these eleven proposals, all but the *tenth* have been either wholly or partially translated into fact." (The tenth is the endorsement of consumer co-operation creating a "wider distribution of ownership" through co-operative enterprise.)

In his concluding paragraphs, the Archbishop says significantly: "Thus we have yet a long way to go before the hopes of the *Bishops' Program* are fulfilled."

F.A.G. and other ill-informed persons should not be allowed to "rant and rave" in your columns about subjects of this character. To allow them such a privilege reflects unfavorably upon the magazine.

Please make an exception to your rule and show my full name and address.

—Sterling Parks, Jr.,
Editor,
The Cleveland Credit Unionist,
Cleveland, Ohio.

War Is Like Sin

TO THE EDITOR:

President Roosevelt's comparison of war to sin was probably more fortunate than he intended.

Ever since this parallel was scornfully drawn in protest against the anti-war polls, I have been trying in vain to find in it the explanation of the uncertain "hope" that war might be avoided.

The President seems to imply that people are "agin" sin and "hope" they can avoid it; but that, nevertheless, it is often inevitable.

According to Christian ethics, we must not only be "agin" sin and "hope" to avoid it. We MUST avoid it; we are bound to exercise our will power and refrain from it. Spineless defeatism is not admitted by the true Christian.

Similarly with war for us now; if character and will power are exercised, it CAN and MUST BE avoided.

We thank you, Mr. President, for this fine analogy.

Brooklyn, N. Y. — C.R.M.

Fears Inflation

TO THE EDITOR:

A number of my friends, acquaintances and myself are very much concerned about this question of inflation.

With the debt of the nation steadily mounting, and the reckless tendency of this Administration to continually extend more and more financial assistance to England, can inflation be prevented?

Should it not be a first duty of the Government to take such steps as will prevent such a terrible calamity which would affect every individual down to the very poorest?

It is sad enough that England will emerge out of this conflict totally bankrupt; but for the United States to expose its citizens to a similar misfortune — when it could well be prevented — would appear to be the more insane procedure.

I cannot recall from my knowledge of history when any other nation ever played the part of the "Big Brother" to our country; and I am therefore at a loss to understand why this Administration should be throwing good money after bad in an attempt to save the lost cause of another nation.

Are our own citizens not dearer to us than those of any foreign power?

I have reached an age when I had hoped that what little I had saved would carry me through my declining years, and the thought of an inflation period with the subsequent loss of my life's savings makes me shudder and feel terribly depressed.

Flushing, N. Y. — Dr. E.J.K.

Labels

TO THE EDITOR:

The British Tories of Washington's day called American patriots "rebels"; and the British Tories and war-mongers today call Americans who are for America First, "traitors" and "pro-Nazis."

Philadelphia, Pa. — J. O'K.

Letters

Mothers Want Facts

TO THE EDITOR:

The Mothers of Sons Forum, Cincinnati, at a recent meeting adopted a resolution asking their Senator, Robert A. Taft, to present a bill to the Senate, requiring the United States Army to make regular public reports, giving the numbers of men killed in line of duty.

The necessity for such a law has become apparent since this organization has been receiving reports from different camps of fatal accidents during maneuvers.

Army officers are now required only to report accidents to their superior officers. A requirement that they report automobile accidents the same as is required in civilian life would, the mothers believe, cut down the accident toll.

Cincinnati, Ohio. — L.E.B.

But, Can We Go Back?

TO THE EDITOR:

When the agitation began to aid Britain "short of war" many haters of war were won over to the program by the reservation, little reflecting that aid to one belligerent and denial of it to another must inevitably lead to war.

The President has merely followed the logical consequences of an "all out aid to Britain" by projecting our armed forces into a war zone proclaimed by one of the belligerents.

Those of us who distrusted the foreign policy of the President from the first, and the sincerity of these "all out for Britain" groups, feel entirely justified in our protestations that such aid would not stop short of war.

I am convinced that the only salvation for the United States is to tear up the roots of the evil and repeal the *Lease-Lend Law*. Return to impartial neutrality, and organize to defeat at next year's elections every Representative and Senator who voted for *Lease-Lend*.

Cambridge, Mass. — L.F.S.

They Get 'Social Justice'

TO THE EDITOR:

It occurs to the writer that the author of *"Count Your Dead; They Are Alive"* and *"Free Nations vs. Soviets Limited"* in the July 14th issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, has more clearly stated the true situation behind this present war than any author or article coming to the writer's attention.

Coming from a subject of the British Empire, the material should be of sufficient weight and importance that Social Justice Publishing Company itself ought to see that every Representative and U. S. Senator has a copy of the same.

Chicago, Ill. — B.J.M.

Asks War Referendum

TO THE EDITOR:

The *New York Daily News* is taking a war poll, which is the very thing that the people in all 48 States have been begging its national administration to do.

Why is a national war referendum being withheld from the people? A referendum now is the only thing that will save our country's flag and the things for which it stands. Its spark may grow to be a roaring "back fire" against future world war flames. Is that why it is being withheld? A war referendum here in this country — now — will pave the way for all the peoples of the entire earth to walk on arm in arm in peace and justice forever more.

This is the road along which Father Charles E. Coughlin was leading us, and for this, "they" took away his right of free speech — took him off the air — and in his stead "they" gave us "O-9" Knox, "Broomstick" Stimson, "No Gas" Ickes, "Keyhole" Winchell, Dotty "Union Now" Thompson, "Wild" Bill Donovan and many other convergers, all of them screeching and screaming words of war into the mike — stirring up hate. But, all of them put together, bound together by the "golden" band, will never undo the good that came to us over the air waves from Royal Oak; "for truth crushed to earth, will rise again," even when it is falsely flogged by a "controversial" whip.

Remember how "they" tried to snipe at Father Coughlin's back while to this very day they still take a sly shot from their vulture's nests. But you don't see the radio moguls granting equal time on the air so that these "controversies" can be answered. Why? "They" know that the silence of this great voice is indeed golden. Golden for them and for the war-mongers who would even have us in the United States of America join hands with Red Joe of the U. S. S. R., who made the rivers of Russia run red with the blood of millions of his "comrades."

Is there no relief from these super world "patriots"? Yes, but only when another precedent is set by him who has broken more of them than all others combined.

Let the President step down and out of that high office now, for he has not kept his promise to keep us out of war, but instead has hired two Secretaries for War, to put us into war — Stimson and Knox; or are they trying to keep us out?

Bronx, N.Y. — C.H.B.

They Called Him 'Anti-Semite' and a 'Social Justice Spouter'

TO THE EDITOR:

As has been my custom for the last several months, I went into Union Square park in my lunch hour (June 20) to help spread the doctrine of Christian social justice, and to help keep my country out of war.

A large group was being addressed by one of the "Bundles for Britain" *clique*. I challenged this individual who refused to debate with me. I then turned to the crowd and spoke on the relationship that has existed between the British Empire and the United States since 1776, down to the commercial agreement entered into between German industrialists and British industrialists to steal our South American trade.

I showed how British propagandists were exploiting the persecution of the Jews in Germany, to create favorable Jewish opinion in this country toward their cause, and I warned the Jews that they were being duped. I showed how the British Empire had loaned $3-billion to help build up Germany to the greatest military power in the world today, expecting to use Germany to destroy Communist Russia.

Several obvious Semites, who had heard me speak before, resorted to their old familiar smear tactics. They said I was a "Christian Fronter," a "spouter of the SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine." They couldn't meet my facts so they resorted to the only weapon their kind resorts to, name calling and smearing.

I can understand now better than ever why Father Coughlin was called an "anti-Semite." The truth of the matter is simply that no one dare mention the word "Jew," because to mention the forbidden word — even to tell the truth — interferes with the plans of this minority.

One of them had the gall to say to a friend of mine: "Ah! You want this to be *a Christian world!*"

Think of it! A minority people in every country in the world, including the United States of America, and one of them lets the cat out of the bag by his bold inference that he wants this to be a "Jewish world."

Christian Americans had better wake up — and now.

Brooklyn, N. Y. — A.J.H.

Tars and Limies 'Mix'

TO THE EDITOR:

I read your paper each week and desire to congratulate you on your sound policy of allowing the people to see through the efforts to drag us into war.

I heard Mr. Churchill this afternoon. He condemned Germany for breaking treaties, but he does not mention any of the treaties that Britain has broken in our times. He condemned the merciless bombing of the poor Russians, but mentioned not the daily bombing of the occupied countries by the British.

A week ago Saturday a policeman friend of mine was ordered off his beat to report in town. He found the superintendent, captains, lieutenants, and a hundred officers busy quelling riots between American and English sailors from Essex street to Scollay Square.

Every saloon was jammed inside and out; many were arrested; and the balance were sent to the City hospital, where I understood a whole ward was filled with wounded English sailors.

I thought that Lord Halifax on his recent trip to Boston would go to the hospital to comfort his boys but the newspapers did not mention it. I have heard since that the "beating up" was in retaliation for a similar riot in Bermuda in which American sailors got the worst of it.

I do think that the interventionists in Washington should know what love Bostonians have for these tough-looking British "cousins" in our midst.

Norfolk Downs, Mass. — J.J.D.

Presidential Message to Congress

Comment

More on Pegler

OUR SUNDAY VISITOR — Bishop Noll's national weekly — supplies us with these additional comments on Westbrook Pegler.

According to *Our Sunday Visitor:*

"Westbrook Pegler confesses that he has run into something that puzzles him. Imagine that! A columnist who isn't ready and willing to offer an infallible decision on any and every subject discussed. Sounds like heresy to the trade. But Pegler's dilemma isn't as inexplainable as one would be led to believe.

"In a recent column he leads off with a partly false word picture of the evil propaganda which associates all Jews with Communism, and accuses some Catholics of being parties to that campaign of libel. The accusation, as far as we know, is false. We know of no responsible Catholic, priest or layman, who has ever charged that all Jews are Communists, or that Communism is merely a Jewish plot. There are those who have been intemperate on this subject, but even they have not failed to distinguish between Jews who are faithful to their religious beliefs and Communistic or atheistic Jews. No Jew who is faithful to his religion — and we know many such — can be a Communist. The same of course is true of a Catholic. And therein lies the answer to Pegler's question.

"Pegler notes that 'such names as Hogan, Curran, Ryan, Cannon, Connelly, Dunn, Bridges, O'Connor, Madden, Foster and Quill (are) on the roster of those who are either avowed Communists of one stripe or another or so closely associated with Communists and so faithful to the party line as to be indistinguishable from the veriest bolo in the Kremlin.'

"He further notes that 'men who bear these Irish names are among the most active and militant advocates and fighters on behalf of the Communist program, and the fact that some of them are said to be practicing Catholics only confuses the confusion.'

"We're glad Pegler inserted that phrase 'said to be,' for it answers his question too. They are 'said to be' perhaps, but they aren't. They can't be. The late Pope Pius XI said that no Catholic could be a Socialist. And that goes for Communism too.

"In listing the Irish names of known Communists, Pegler says that he 'should like to know whether this indicates an identity of Communism and Irishness.' Pegler, of course, knows the answer. It doesn't. But one of the reasons why Communism has spread so rapidly in the United States is that our people have the idea that all Reds are foreigners, with long beards, shaggy appearances, and unkempt clothes. The opposite is true.

"Communists here are in appearance the same as other citizens. They even lay claim to 'twentieth century Americanism.' They are descendants of Jews, Irishmen, Englishmen, Frenchmen, Poles, Germans, etc., white on the outside but Red — and yellow — on the in.

"The Church lays no claim to the sanctity of all its members. There have been thousands of apostates and fallen-aways. There are other thousands who are careless and indifferent in the practice of their religion. Pegler should know that. He should be a Catholic, but we've frequently been told, he doesn't 'work at it.' Let him and others judge the Church by her teachings and her loyal members, and not by the way some so-called Catholics *don't* follow these teachings."

* * *

Without identifying the leaders of Bolshevism as Jews, *Our Sunday Visitor* prints an article by Rev. Joseph A. Vaughan, S. J., Ph.D., which Westbrook Pegler should read.

Father Vaughan's article reviews the story of Russia since 1917 — a story often told by us and specified, in some respects, in *"An Answer to Father Coughlin's Critics."*

Here is the Vaughan story in part:

"Let us go back about twenty-two years. In March, 1917, Kerensky overthrew the Czars and set up a weak democracy. In November of that same year, thirty thousand Communists revolted, and with Lenin the intellectual leader, and the ruthless Trotzky, the military driver, bombed and burned and butchered their way across Russia from Moscow to Odessa; and augmented by an ever-increasing army of jailbirds released for the purpose, they beat into subjection a nation of 165-million people.

"Chamberlain's history of those two years will reveal all the facts. In that war, 1,800,000 intellectuals, army officers, policemen, village officials, priests, school teachers, home owners, farmers and peasants were formally executed, most of them summarily without hearing, a few with the very doubtful privilege of a military trial. In that war two tremendous curses were introduced into the world — the slaughter of prisoners and women in the ranks. Compared to these two years of horror, 1917 to 1919, the French Revolution and every other horror of the world pales almost into insignificance.

"A diabolical hatred of Christ and everything Christian inflamed these bolshevists as they advanced along their fiery way. Said Lunacharsky, head of the Soviet Educational System: 'We hate Christianity and the Christians; even the best of them must be considered our worst enemies. They preach love of one's neighbor and mercy, which is contrary to our principles. Christian love is an obstacle to the development of the world revolution. Down with love of one's neighbor! What we need is hate. Only thus will we conquer the world.'

"Imagine school children, by nature innocent, loving and confiding, educated on such doctrines of hate. And these are still the undenied principles of that country with which Britain and even the United States now co-operates.

* * *

"In keeping with these principles," continues Father Vaughan, "the Church in Russia was destroyed, even by fire. True, that Church had long since broken with Rome, but its teachings were Christian, I might even say Catholic, and its priests were real priests with a history right back to the Apostles and to Christ.

"Of these priests 10,000 disappeared during those terrible two years, tortured, butchered, crucified, or sent into exile on the icebound steppes of Siberia, to meet quick death from cold and hunger with millions of their fellow countrymen who had resisted the bolsheviks or who were unfortunate enough to have belonged to a family intellectually or financially strong.

"More, the whole world knows of the huge billboards all over Russia mocking everything we Christians hold dear, and the constant parades of the godless, clever propaganda methods to whip the people into line. Everywhere was the slogan: 'Religion is the opium of the people.'

"Had the bolsheviks been content with such inhuman activity in Russia alone, we while sympathizing with the Russian people, might not have been exceptionally aroused. But the bolshevik program called for world-wide revolution. As Trotzky constantly insisted: A single communistic nation standing alone was bound to fall. So, they tried it in Hungary, and under the bloody *Bela Kun — Julius Kohn* to be exact — succeeded for a year, only after thousands of humans had been butchered — until Hungary under Admiral Horthy shook off the human monsters.

"They tried it in Spain, with that same Bela Kun again on the job, but this time the battle was longer. Seventeen thousand priests, fourteen hundred nuns, some four hundred thousand non-combatants met gruesome deaths by torture and fire as well as bullets. One must never forget that such methods of wholesale executions were born with Communism. Twenty-two thousand church edifices were destroyed . . .

* * *

"The same Communists had filtered secretly into France, and brought about the lamentable collapse that in turn has become such a terrifying threat to England. And it was communistic intrigue with Germany that gave Hitler his original security to attack Poland and England.

"Is it a wonder therefore, that Catholics and so many decent-minded Americans stand by amazed, awed, indecisive — or perhaps I should say positively decisive — when England and the United States help sharpen the teeth and claws of the Russian bear, that wild animal ready today even as every day of these past twenty-two years, to tear to pieces all that we Americans hold sacred and dear.

"Russia, in keeping with her standard of morality, has double-crossed every nation that trusted her, and tried to crush those that didn't trust her . . .

"She double crossed others; she has double crossed us. During his first administration Mr. Roosevelt decided to recognize the Soviet government provided they ceased pouring money into America for propaganda purposes. The money and propaganda have continued, even unto today.

"When a year later Mr. Roosevelt protested in strong notes to Russia, Litvinov — the ex-convict who was foreign minister — answered that it was not Russia but the Communist International that was guilty.

"Now, once again why do I discuss all these things? Merely to picture the government with which we are asked to play ball, and to justify — if justification is necessary — the attitude of Catholic leaders in America and in every country of the world. Stated simply Russia, or rather, the Russian Communists in power are the bitter enemies of Christ and Christian civilization. *With such rulers neither Catholics nor any decent minded lover of Christian civilization will have anything to do."*

Three More Weeks to Victory!

"Closing-in" Period of Great "Jubilee" Contest Opens

On the morning of July 29 the third, or "Closing-In" Period of our great "JUBILEE" subscription race sets in.

This is the second last period of the contest. It affords to all men and women, boys and girls entered in the exciting competition to "close in" on their prospects and drive their total vote-credit to what is colloquially known these days as "astronomical heights."

Of course, this cannot be done without work — work — and still more work. But when you realize that there are 108 grand prizes waiting — and that they total thousands of dollars — you see that some extra spurts towards the end will be well worth while.

Not only that, but a real spurt on the part of any contestant at this writing COULD result, with the proper amount of leg-work and organization, in winning of the Grand Prize of $2,500.00.

It is not yet too late to enter and win. Not by any means. A genuine, dyed-in-the-wool live-wire could leap into the fray this very day and win. Of course, he or she would have a handicap to overcome. There are others who have been working. But, nevertheless, it is POSSIBLE to enter the race today and romp off with the Grand Prize of $2,500; the Second Prize of $1,500; the Third Prize of $1,250, or any of the other handsome cash awards.

Remember, you MUST enter now or perhaps not at all. Entries will NOT be taken right up to the end of the contest.

Our advice to all active contestants who are already entered and on their way is this:

A burst of speed during the final weeks — and there are only three left — could mean the difference between a big cash prize and a lesser one.

To those not already entered, we have this to say:

Use the two coupons on this page today. Get in and work hard. You will be serving the cause of social justice in America and you COULD win a mighty nice prize if you hit the ball hard enough.

Address All Contest Mail to

"Contest Manager"
Social Justice,
Royal Oak, Mich.

Schedule of Regular Votes

ON PREPAID SUBSCRIPTIONS TO

Social Justice Magazine

Subscriptions	Votes
6 months ($1.50)	4,000
1 year ($3.00)	10,000
2 years ($6.00)	30,000

The above are Regular Votes and will maintain the same values on both new and renewed subscriptions throughout the Contest.

BONUS VOTES

On each NEW subscription (2 years or 1 year), the following bonuses will be allowed:

30,000 EXTRA Votes from July 1 to July 28.

25,000 EXTRA Votes from July 29 to August 11.

20,000 EXTRA Votes from August 12 to August 26.

Extra or Bonus Votes are issued and credited only after conclusion of the Contest.

SPECIAL PRIZE OF $200

In order to keep up the strenuous activity of this great circulation drive, we are offering this week another SPECIAL CASH PRIZE of $200.00.

This will be awarded to the contestant, now in the contest or about to enter, who turns in the LARGEST AMOUNT OF NEW SUBSCRIPTION MONEY between July 29 and August 11, the close of the Third or "Closing-In" Period.

All contestants are eligible for this Special Prize of $200.00.

And — remember — not a single dollar of business turned in BEFORE July 29 will apply on this Special Prize. It will be awarded ONLY for the turning in of the LARGEST AMOUNT OF NEW SUBSCRIPTION MONEY between July 29 and August 11.

But, there is another big point to remember.

EVERY DOLLAR TURNED IN TOWARDS THE WINNING OF THIS SPECIAL PRIZE OF $200.00 WILL APPLY ON THE BIG PRIZES AT THE END OF THE CONTEST.

Also keep in mind —

During this Third Period, from July 29 to August 11, there will be a Bonus of 25,000 Extra Votes on all NEW SUBSCRIPTIONS of one or two years. This is the highest Bonus Credit that will be accorded on new subscriptions for the remainder of the contest.

WIN ONE FOR YOURSELF

FIRST GRAND PRIZE	$ 2,500
Second Grand Prize	1,500
Third Grand Prize	1,250
Fourth Grand Prize	750
Fifth Grand Prize	650
Sixth Grand Prize	550
Seventh Grand Prize	400
Eighth Grand Prize	300
100 Additional Grand Prizes	1,000
27 Special Cash Prizes	1,100
TOTAL CASH PRIZES	$10,000

NEW CONTESTANTS!

Contestant	Vote Credit
CALIFORNIA	
Raymond Joseph Reed, Sacramento	5,000
FLORIDA	
A. Edw. Stevens, Miami	35,000
IDAHO	
A. F. Tomchak, Roberts	35,000
ILLINOIS	
Stephen Schouten, Chicago	35,000
L. J. Warzynski, Chicago	35,000
MAINE	
Helen M. Rush, Millinocket	35,000
MASSACHUSETTS	
Edward J. Campbell, Norwood	35,000
Wm. Cullinane, Brookline	35,000
Elizabeth A. Garland, Holyoke	35,000
W. A. MacPherson, Boston	35,000
MICHIGAN	
Mrs. Marie Becker, Whitehall	35,000
MINNESOTA	
Joe Wojack, Minneapolis	35,000
NEW YORK	
Miss Mary V. Breheny, New York City	35,000
Mr. C. Bressel, Brooklyn	5,000
Mrs. Gene Doherty, Richmond Hill, L. I.	35,000
Miss E. M. Feerick, New York City	35,000
Miss Patricia Hand, Woodside, L. I.	35,000
Michael Lobode-Medved, New York City	35,000
John J. Morrissey, Dunkirk	35,000
Mrs. M. Newman, Brooklyn	35,000
John A. O'Donnell, Huntington, L. I.	35,000
Hardin D. Price, New York City	35,000
Theo. H. Schror, Albion	35,000
OHIO	
Regina Hupp, Canton	35,000
Leona C. Prigge, Lockland	35,000
Joseph Rose, Dayton	35,000
Joe Weber, Elmwood Place	35,000
PENNSYLVANIA	
William Barry, Philadelphia	35,000
R. W. Breen, Pittsburgh	35,000
Theresa Donahue, Upper Darby	35,000
Mary I. Magee, Bryn Mawr	35,000
B. H. McDonald, Kingston	35,000
John B. Roedig, Philadelphia	35,000
RHODE ISLAND	
Mrs. Wm. H. Weimar, Westerly	35,000

NOT MUCH LONGER TO USE THESE

"START OFF" Subscription Coupon

(Good for 20,000 Votes)

Return this Coupon to the Contest Manager with your first yearly subscription payment ($3.00), either old or new, and you will receive 20,000 Votes, in addition to the Votes allowed on Regular Vote Schedule.

Name of subscriber _____

Address _____

City or Town _____

State _____

(Your own subscription or renewal will count.)

This Coupon accompanied by the Entry Blank and your first yearly subscription (your own or somebody else's) will start you in the great Contest with 25,000 Votes, plus the Regular Votes on the subscription as shown in the Regular Vote Schedule. Only one of these Coupons will be credited to a contestant.

ENTRY BLANK

(Good for 5,000 Votes)

_____, 1941

Please enter my name as a Contestant in your "JUBILEE" Contest. I understand this Blank filled out and sent in will entitle me to 5,000 Votes and that my first yearly subscription, old or new, will entitle me to 20,000 Votes.

My Name Is _____

My Address Is _____

City or Town _____ *State* _____

ONLY ONE OF THESE COUPONS WILL BE CREDITED TO A CONTESTANT.

NATIONAL WEEKLY
Social Justice
Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

War Against Japan?

IT HAS been the practiced policy of the Roosevelt Administration to favor the Chinese in their war against Japan.

This policy has rankled in the hearts of the Nipponese war lords who are devoted to the policy of Asia for the Asiatics – a policy which, after all, is nothing more than an expression of our own *Monroe Doctrine*.

Meanwhile – and this is hard to understand – the United States has been shipping oil, tin and fabricated commodities to Japan by way of appeasement. And many of these commodities, so we are told, found their way through Russia into Germany.

As contradictory as the two policies expressed above appear to be, we have now come to an open break with Tokyo.

Subsequently, the United States has frozen Japanese credits and put the Philippines in state of military preparedness.

* * *

Drew Pearson and Robert S. Allen (the Virginio Gaydas of the White House) state that if Japan attacks Siberia, the United States will stop oil shipments to every part of the Japanese empire.

Likely, Japan will attack Siberia.

Likely, Washington will curtail shipments of oil to the Japanese.

But Japan, which produces no oil within her empire, must have oil for her industrial and naval activities.

It seems reasonable, therefore, that the Japanese will attack the Dutch East Indies to secure the needed fuel.

And such a move will necessitate both the British and American fleets to attack the Japanese.

Meanwhile, the great naval base of Singapore is being prepared for actual war.

Meanwhile, French Indo-China and its wealth are virtually put under the protectorate of the Japanese by the French Vichy Government.

Soon all Asia will be engaged in war as is all Europe.

How many months will elapse before all America – including South America – will be engaged in war is a matter of speculation.

Unless the German forces can overcome Russia and successfully invade England by the end of September, there is every evidence that the entire world will have elected to experience a blood bath the like of which was never imagined by the most poetic minds.

* * *

Our engaging in war against Japan will initiate a long, bitter, gruesome, destructive period which will witness the abandoning of our *Constitution*, the destruction of private property and the adoption of a totalitarian form of government which will level off all families and persons.

As for the outcome of the war against Japan, it will mean that all Europe and practically all Asia will be opposed to the United States of America. The 21 South American Republics are and will be a question mark as to their affiliations in this Armageddon.

When gold becomes more precious than men – as it was at the time of the signing of the *Treaty of Versailles* and as it is today in Washington – we may look for divine reprisals until such a policy is abandoned.

How close we are to war against Japan is exemplified when our Government refused permission for ten or more Japanese steamers en route home from American Atlantic ports to use the Panama Canal.

"Gabriel Over the White House" And
"Philip Dru" Give the Program!